Department: Department of Budget and Management (DBM)
Appropriations = Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Office of the Secretary
Operating Unit: Central Office
Organization C ode (UACS): 060010100000
Report Status: PENDING

| | | | F | hysical Targe | ets | | | Physic | al Accomplisi | hments | * | Variance as | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Particulars | | | | | | | | | , | | | of December | |
| Particulars | | | 2nd Quarter | | | Total | | 2nd Quarter | | | Total | 31 2018 | Remarks |
| ⊃art A | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7=(3+4+5+6) | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12=(8+9+10+ | 13 | 14 |
| . Operations | | | | | | | <u></u> | - | | | | | |
| OO : Allocative efficiency and operational effectiveness | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| anhanced | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ORGANIZATION AL AND PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | 3.101E+14 | <u>.</u> . | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Outcome Indicator | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Percentage of targeted agencies with rightsized organizational structure and staffing pattern for the efficient, effective, and economical delivery the efficient, effective, and economical delivery of services approved within the prescribed period. | | - | _ | . <u></u> | 80% | 80% | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicators | - | | | | | | · · · · · · | _ | | | | | |
| Percentage of approved actions on organization, staffing, compensation, position classification, management systems improvement and productivity enhancement released by the DBM within the target date. | | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 85% | 98.55% | 96.11% | 98.26% | 100.00% | 98.23% | -13.23% | · |
| Percentage of policy guidelines on organization, staffing, compensation, position classification, management systems improvement, and productivity enhancement issued by the DBM within the target date | | _ | _ | | 90% | 90% | - | - | - | 100.00% | 100.00% | -10.00% | |
| BUDGET OPERATIONS AND PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 3.102E+14 | | | | | | | | - | - | | | |
| Outcome Indicator | | 1 | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Appropriations aligned with the government priorities (i.e. Public Infrastructure spending increased, in % GDP) | | | - | | for | 6.3% of GDP for Infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicators | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Budget documents under the responsibility of DBM submitted on time | | | _ | 100% | | 100% | | | 100% | - | 100.00% | 0.00% | |
| Percentage of requests for budget variation and authorization acted upon within the prescribed period | | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 96.14% | 96.14% | 96.73% | 96.88% | 96.47% | -6.47% | |
| Percentage of Agency Performance Reviews (APRs) conducted within the prescribed period | | _ | 85% | - | - | 85% | 100.00% | 99.58% | - | - | 99.79% | -14.79% | Q1: ROs II, VI, IX, XI, XII and XIII reported accomplishments for the quarter. |
| 4. Percentage of targeted number of policy directives / guidelines on budget preparation, execution, and accountability issued on the target date | | _ | | - | 100% | 100% | 100.00% | - | | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | Q1: BTB reported accomplishment for the quarter even when target is set to be accomplished in the 4th quarter. |
| Percentage of budget reviews on LGU Budgets and GOCC Corporate Operating Budgets (COB) completed within the prescribed period | | | | | | | | | | | | • . | |

Department: Department of Budget and Management (DBM)
Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Office of the Secretary
Operating Unit: Central Office
Organization Code (UACS): 060010100000
Report Status: PENDING

| A. Percentage of budget reviews on GOCC Corporate Operating Budgets (COB) completed within the prescribed period | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | Q1: 8/8 COBs received with complete documents were reviewed within the prescribed deadline.; Q2: 26/26 COBs received with complete documents were reviewed within the prescribed deadline.; Q3: Accomplishment to be reported once the Delivery Unit concerned has submitted its report. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Percentage of LGUs budgets submitted with complete documentation reviewed within 75 days | | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 95% | 99.58% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 99.90% | -4.90% | Q1, Q2:1 LGU budget in each quarter was reviewed beyond 75 days. Q3, Q4: All LGU Budgets were reviewed within 75 days. |
| LOCAL EXPENDITURE MANAGEMENT POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM Outcome Indicator | 3.103E+14 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent increase in the rating for the two identified dimensions of PFM, i.e. credibility of the budget and policy-based budgeting, of LGUs assessing their PFM systems using the PFM Assessment Tool (PFMAT) for LGUs | | *** | | _ | 28% | 28% | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicator | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| Percentage of targeted number of policy directives/guidelines issued on local expenditure management | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | Q1: Policy directives issued:1. Local Budget Circular No.116-Guidelines on the Implementation of the Local Government Support Fund (LGSF)- Assistance to Cities in the FY 2018 General Appropriations Act (GAA) Republic Act No.10964 issued on January 29, 2018. 2. Local Budget Circular No. 117-Guidelines on the Release and Utilization of the Local Government Support Fund- Financial Assistance to Local Government Units (LGSF-FA to LGUs) in the FY 2018 General Appropriations Act (GAA), Republic Act No. 10964 issued on February 05, 2018. 3. Local Budget Circular No. 116-A-Supplemental Guidelines on the Implementation of the Local Government Support Fund-Assistance to Cities (LGSF-AC) in the FY 2018 General Appropriations Act (GAA) Republic Act No. 10964 issued on March 26, 2018. Q2: Local Memorandum No. 77 FY 2019 Internal Revenue Allotment and Guidelines on the Preparation of the FY 2019 Annual Budgets of Local Government Units issued on 15 May 2018. Q3: Policy Directive Issued: (1) Joint Memorandum Circular (JMC) No. 2018-1 dated July 12, 2018 - Adoption of teh Modified Format for the Statement of Receipts and Expenditures of Local Government Units (SRE of LGUs) and Updated Guidelines on the Preparation and Submission thereof. (2) Local Budget Memorandum No. 77-A - Guidelines on the Preparation of the FY 2019 Annual Budgets of Barangays issued on July 24, 2018. (3) Local Budget Circular No. 117-A - Amendment to Local Budget Circular (LBC) No. 117 dated Februaru 5, 2018, entitled, "Guidelines on the Release and Utilization of the Local Government Units (LGSF-FA to LGUs) in teh FY 2018 General Appropriations Act (GAA), Republic Act (RA) No. 10964 issued on September 29, 2018. Q4: Policy Directive Issued: (1) Local Budget Memorandum No. 77-B - Adjusted FY 2019 Internal Review Allotment (IRA) Shares of Local Government Units (LGSF-FA to LGUs) at ded 21 December 2018. |

Department: Department of Budget and Management (DBM)
Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations
Agency: Office of the Secretary
Operating Unit: Central Office
Organization Code (UACS): 060010100000
Report Status: PENDING

| Report Status: PENDING | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RESULTS-BASED PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 3.104E+14 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome Indicator | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| Number of national government agencies with functional M&E units | | | _ | 5 | 5 | 10 Departments (OSEC only) | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicator | | | | | | (OSEC GITTY) | | | 1 | <u> </u> | - | | · |
| Number of M&E directives/guidelines/tools issued | | _ | 3 | _ | - | 3 | | - | | | - | | Q2: Accomplishment to be reported once the Delivery Unit concerned has submitted its report |
| Percentage of targeted agencies provided with technical assistance on time | | _ | | 100% | 100% | 100% | • | | - | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | · |
| Percentage of targeted agency participants who rated the technical assistance provided as satisfactory or better | | _ | - | 80% | 80% | 80% | | | - | 88.70% | 88.70% | -8.70% | |
| OO : Budget improved through sustainable fiscal discipline and fiscal openness | <u></u> | | | | | | | | 1- | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| FISCAL DISCIPLINE AND OPENNESS PROGRAM | 3.201E+14 | | | | | - | | | | + | | <u> </u> | |
| Outcome Indicators | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | 1 | 1 | - | | |
| Expenditure level kept within the target NG fiscal deficit-to-GDP ratio set by the DBCC | | _ | | <u></u> . | Disbursemen t kept within 3% of GDP deficit with | Disbursemen t kept within 3% of GDP deficit with | | | | | | | |
| 2. Targeted PEFA or IMF-FTA budget indicators improved | | •• | _ | - | Improved PI- 1 and PI-2 PEFA | Improved PI- 1 and PI-2 PEFA | | | | | - | | |
| Philippines' score in the Open Budget Survey (OBS) improved | | | _ | - | Al least 65 | At least 65 | | | | | | | |
| Output Indicators | - | · | | - | | | | - | | | | | |
| Percentage of targeted number of budget policy advisories submitted to and approved within one (1) revision by the DBCC | | | | - | 100% | 100% | - | - | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | Q1: The targeted BPA for the quarter namely, Medium Term Aggregate Fiscal Targets is tentatively scheduled to be presented to the DBCC on April 24, 2018. No DBCC meeting was held during the 1st quarter of 2018. Q2: The rating for this indicator will be reported in the 4th quarter as cumulative of the targeted BPAs that will be accomplished for 2018. However, the BPAs will be reflected in the quarter they are accomplished. The two (2) BPAs namely: (a) Medium Term Aggregate Fiscal Targets for the 2019 Budget Policies Framework; and (b) 2018 Quartery Fiscal Program of the National Government were approved as presented during the 172nd DBCC meeting last April 24, 2018. The two BPAs will be considered as approved without substantive revision. Q3: 1.) The Medium Term Fiscal Program (and Cash Appropriations) for the 2019 BESF was approved as presented during the 173rd DBCC meeting last July 2, 2018. 2.) The revised Medium-Term Fiscal Program (MTFP) for FYs 2019-2022 was approved by the DBCC through an Ad Referendum dated July 13, 2018 with no substantive revision from any of the DBCC principals. Q4: 1.) Fiscal Risks Statement for FY 2019 Budget was submitted to DBCC on 04 September 2018. 2.) Revised MTFP for FYs 2018-2022 was approved as completed on 22 November 2018. 2.) Revised MTFP for FYs 2018-2022 was approved and presented during |

Department: Department of Budget and Management (DBM)

Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations

Agency: Office of the Secretary Operating Unit: Central Office

Organization Code (UACS): 060010100000

Report Status: PENDING

| Percentage of PEIM reforms approved by Authorities and issued through policy guidelines / directives | | | _ | _ | 90% | 90% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Q1: There were two PEM reforms (Assessment Readiness Toll and Roll-out of the Annual Cash Based Budgeting in 2019) approved/ conducted in the first quarter of 2018. However, they will be reported in the fourth quarter as cumulative of the PEM reforms that will be accomplished for all quarters in 2018. Q2: No PEM reform was accomplished during the second (2nd) Quarter of 2018. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3. All seven (7) essential budget documents (in the OBI) under DBM responsibility published on time | , | 1 | | 4 | 2 | . 7 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | Q1: The targeted essential budget document for the quarter namely, the 2019 Budget Priorities Framework (BPF) was not accomplished in the first quarter of 2018 due to factors external to the Bureau. These refer to late submission of inputs from lead agencies such as: 1. Revenue projections by the DOF (received on April 02, 2018);and 2. Complete and final 2017 Socioeconomic Report from NEDA (received on April 04, 2018. The 2019 BPF is targeted to be published within the first two weeks of April 2018. In lieu thereof, the Highlights of the National Government Disbursement Performance as of December 31, 2017 was published in the DBM website on February 26, 2018. Q2: The 2019 Budget Priorities Framework was published in the DBM website on April 17, 2018. Q3: 1.) The FY 2017 Annual Fiscal Report was published in the DBM website on August 17, 2018. 2.) The FY 2018 Mid-Year Report was published in the DBM website on September 28, 2018. 3.) The President's Budget Message, one of the essential budget documents in the OBI under the responsibility of the DBM, was published by the BITS on August 23, 2018 or 49 working days before the deadline. |

'repared By:

ROWEL DESCALANTE

Officer-in-Charge

Corporate Planning and Management Service

In coordination with:

Director IV

Finance Service

Approved by:

ACHILLES GERARD C. BRAVO

& Assistant Secretary Head, Internal Management Group

711