

S.5. SULU STATE COLLEGE**STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES****SECTOR OUTCOME**

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2026 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	51% (42/83)	84.30% (145/172)
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	6% (18/294)	38% (4,900/12,896)
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	11% (1,418/12,896)	30% (3,869/12,896)
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	1% (1/100)	83.33% (20/24)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	23	70
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	16	48
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year	1% (1/100)	16.67% (8/48)
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	3	16
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	329	1,475

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	2	16
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	83% (273/329)	89.97% (1,327/1,475)