

VII. DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMY, PLANNING, AND DEVELOPMENT

A. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2026 TARGETS

Sound economic and development management effected

SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted	90.87% (846 of 931)	88%
2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans for Economy and Development Council (formerly NEDA Board) Committees where DEPDev is the Secretariat	93.8% (454 of 484)	95%
3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided		
a. Economy and Development Council (formerly NEDA Board)	N/A	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
Economy and Development Council (formerly NEDA Board) Committees:		
b. Social Development Committee	4.53 or 90.6% (Outstanding) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
c. Tariff and Related Matters Committee (Formerly Committee on Tariff and Related Matters)	4.49 or 89.8% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
d. National Land Use Committee (NLUC)	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
e. Regional Development Committee (RDCom)	4.37 or 87.4% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
f. Other Inter-Agency Committees	4.46 or 89.2% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
g. Regional Development Councils (RDC)	4.56 or 91.2% (Outstanding) average rating	4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
4. Rate of satisfaction of key officials on the secretariat support provided by LEDAC secretariat	Better	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
5. Set of approved Common Legislative Agenda (CLA) that signifies the commitment of both the Executive and the Legislative to pursue priority legislations that are essential to the realization of the goals of the national economy	1 set	1 set
6. Percentage of bills included in the approved CLA that have been identified as priority legislations in the Philippine Development Plan (PDP)	50%	50%

1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion	92.95% (1,094 of 1,177)	97%
2. Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to the Economy and Development Council (formerly NEDA Board), RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or the Secretary of the DEPDev, respectively, for approval	8 total	4 total
3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period	44 total	28 total
4. Number of interventions employed to effectively address concerns on CLA	4 meetings/interventions	4 meetings/interventions
5. Number of monitoring reports/activities on CLA conducted	6 reports	4 monitoring reports/activities

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM**Outcome Indicators**

1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

Economy and Development Council (formerly NEDA Board)**Committees:**

a. Investment Coordination Committee (ICC)	4.61 or 92.2% (Outstanding) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
b. Infrastructure Development Committee (formerly Infrastructure Committee)	4.58 or 91.6% (Outstanding) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
c. Other Inter-agency Committees	4.3 or 86% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the Public Investment Program (PIP)	78% (32 of 41)	90%

Output Indicators

1. Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action	29 total	18 total
2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline	89.95% (188 of 209)	90%

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM**Outcome Indicators**

1. Adoption of Philippine Development Report (PDR) (formerly Socio-Economic Report) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework (BPF)	SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the BPF	PDR adopted in the BPF
2. Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period	97.84% (136 of 139)	100%
3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues	100% (286 of 286)	100%

Output Indicators

1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule	9 total	16 total
a. Philippine Development Report (PDR) (formerly Socio-Economic Report)	N/A	1 PDR
b. Regional Development Report (RDR)	9 RDRs	15 RDRs
2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually	1	1
3. Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline	96.67% (29 of 30)	90%