

## R.2. COTABATO STATE UNIVERSITY

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2025 TARGETS</u>
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
<b>HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM</b>		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	40%	42%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	42%	45%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	56%	64.48%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	88.24%	96.43%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		

**RESEARCH PROGRAM****Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

2

4

**Output Indicators**

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

30

31

2. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED recognized journal within the year

13%

13%

Community engagement increased

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM****Outcome Indicator**

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

13

17

**Output Indicators**

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

2,800

2,911

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

8

13

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

85%

87.08%