## D.2. APAYAO STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES			
SECTOR OUTCOME			
Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured			
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME			
<ol> <li>Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased</li> <li>Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation</li> <li>Community engagement increased</li> </ol>			
PERFORMANCE INFORMATION			
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2025 TARGETS	

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam		
takers that pass the licensure exams	34.65%	45%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	69%	75%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students		
enrolled in CHED-identified and		
RDC-identified priority programs	100%	100%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation	82.35%	100%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years		
utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	N/A	15
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	N/A	85
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national,		
regional, and international fora within the year	100%	100%
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of	_	40
extension activities	5	12
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	3,442	3,450
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	11	18
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		
training course/s as satisfactory or higher	1000/	1000/
in terms of quality and relevance	100%	100%