

D. CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION (CAR)

D.1. ABRA STATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (00s) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2025 TARGETS</u>
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	42.86%	43.86%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	25.64%	35%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	78.43%	77.67%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	95.65%	82.60%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	13	9
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	75	61
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year	62.70%	62.75%
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnership with LGUs, industries, NGOs NGAs, SMEs and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	28	28
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	1,462	1,580
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	24	24
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	99.47%	99.47%