D. CORDILLERA ADMINISTRATIVE REGION (CAR)

D.1. ABRA STATE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2024 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	25%	36.02%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	15%	44.12%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	90%	63%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	86.36% (19/22)	89.65%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	3	8

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	30	51
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year	53.33% (16/30)	62%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs NGAs, SMEs and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	14	29

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	1,370	2,128
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	6	20
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	85%	97%