## D.5. KALINGA STATE UNIVERSITY

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
- 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 3. Community engagement increased

## STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)	BASELINE	2023 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	29.87%	55%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	2001/0	0070
that are employed	30%	50%
Output Indicators 1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled		
enrolled in CHED-identified and		
RDC-identified priority programs	82.73%	90%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs	000/	000/
with accreditation	88%	90%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	7	13
Output Indicators	•	10
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	41	75
2. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED		
recognized journal within the year	13%	34%
Community engagement increased		
community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	4	20
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and	T.	20
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities		
Output Indicators 1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	2,700	4,000
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	24	50
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	<u> </u>	00
training course/s as satisfactory or higher		
in terms of quality and relevance	80%	95%