GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2021

## XXVI. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

## A. OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)   | BASELINE   | 2021 TARGETS  |
|--|--|---|
| Sound economic and development management effected   |  |   |
| SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM Outcome Indicator(s)  |  |   |
| 1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted  | 90.87% (846 of 931)                                | 87% average   |
| <ol><li>Percentage of agenda items related to the plans<br/>for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the<br/>Secretariat</li></ol>  | 93.8% (454 of 484)                                 | 93% average   |
| <ol> <li>Average client satisfaction rating of members of<br/>the following with the secretariat services<br/>provided</li> </ol>  |  |   |
| a. NEDA Board  | N / A  | At least 4/5 or 80%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating      |
| NEDA Board Committees:   |  | •   |
| b. Social Development Committee  | 4.53 or 90.6% (Outstanding) average rating         | At least 3.75 / 5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating       |
| c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters   | 4.49 or 89.8% (Very Satisfactory) average rating   | At least 2.5/5 or 50%<br>(Satisfactory) average<br>rating         |
| d. National Land Use Committee (NLUC)  | 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating      | At least 4/5 or 80%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating      |
| e. Regional Development Committee (RDCom)  | 4.37/5 or 87.4% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | At least 4/5 or 80%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating      |
| f. Other Inter-Agency Committees   | 4.46 or 89.2% (Very Satisfactory) average rating   | At least a 3.85/5 or 76%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating |
| g. Regional Development Councils (RDC)   | 4.56 or 91.2% (Outstanding) average rating         | At least a 4.35/5 or 87%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating |
| Output Indicator(s)  1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion            | 92.95% (1,094 of 1,177)                            | 97% average   |
| <ol> <li>Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted<br/>within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs,<br/>and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning<br/>respectively, for approval</li> </ol> | 8 total  | 8 total   |

re-evaluated within target deadline

## NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

| 3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before<br>the release of official statistics for each<br>reference period  | 44 total                                      | 44 total   |
|---|---|--|
| NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM Outcome Indicator(s) 1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided   |   |  |
| NEDA Board Committees: a. Investment Coordination Committee (ICC)   | 4.61 or 92.2% (Outstanding) average rating    | At least 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating            |
| b. Infrastructure Committee   | 4.58/5 or 91.6% (Outstanding) average rating  | At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating       |
| c. Other Inter-agency Committees  | 4.3 or 86% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | At least 4/5 or 80%<br>(Very Satisfactory) average<br>rating |
| 2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the Public Investment Program (PIP)  | 78% (32 of 41)                                | At least 90%   |
| Output Indicator(s)  1. Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action            | 29 Total                                      | 11 total   |
| 2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline   | 89.95% (188 of 209)                           | 90%  |
| NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicator(s)   |   |  |
| Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework (BPF)  | SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the BPF    | SER adopted in the BPF                                       |
| <ol><li>Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation<br/>information for policy and decision-making<br/>made readily available to policy-makers and various<br/>stakeholders within prescribed period</li></ol> | 97.84% (136 of 139)                           | 100% of data requests<br>provided per quarter                |
| <ol> <li>Percentage of agencies with problematic projects<br/>alerted/assisted to hasten or put project<br/>implementation back on track and/or to address<br/>implementation issues</li> </ol>                         | 100% (286 of 286)                             | 100% of agencies with<br>problematic projects per<br>quarter |
| Output Indicator(s) 1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule   | 9 total                                       | 15 total   |
| a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)  | -   | 1 SER  |
| b. Regional Development Report (RDR)  | 9 RDRs  | 14 RDRs  |
| 2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually   | 1   | 1  |
| 3. Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements)   | 96.67% (29 of 30)                             | At least 90%   |