

**M.5. MSU-ILIGAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY****STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES****SECTOR OUTCOME**

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

**ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME**

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

**PERFORMANCE INFORMATION**

<b>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</b>	<b>BASELINE</b>	<b>2020 TARGETS</b>
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
<b>HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM</b>		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-takers that pass the licensure exams	83% (717 / 861)	83% (938 / 1,134)
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	22.38% (499 / 2,230)	25% (905 / 3,616)
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	79% (9,720 / 12,245)	82% (9,775 / 11,920)
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	68% (30 / 44)	56% (31 / 55)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		

**ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D)	73% (89 / 122)	61% (82 / 135)
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	61% (74 / 122)	62% (84 / 135)
c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	16% (19 / 122)	19% (25 / 135)
d. whose research work resulted in an extension program	13% (16 / 122)	16% (22 / 135)
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in CHED-identified or RDC-identified priority programs	84% (712 / 848)	84% (792 / 943)

2. Percentage of accredited graduate programs	88% (35 / 40)	78% (36 / 46)
---	---------------	---------------

**RESEARCH PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	1	1
--	---	---

## Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	180	
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international forums within the year		97% (175/180)

Community engagement increased

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	132	150
--	-----	-----

## Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	9,575	10,000
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	104	120
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course / s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance	90%	90% (270/300)