

## **I.7. ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES**

### **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

#### **SECTOR OUTCOME**

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

#### **ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME**

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

## HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

## Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-takers that pass the licensure exams

46.86%

48%

2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

71%

75%

## Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs

90%

95%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

86%

90%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

## RESEARCH PROGRAM

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

22

25

## Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

96

98

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international forums within the year

15%

20%

Community engagement increased

## TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

## Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NCAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

10

16

## Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

4,435

4,460

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

15

21

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

100%

100%