

I.4. CENTRAL PHILIPPINES STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2020 TARGETS</u>
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams	81%	85%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	40%	55%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	55%	65%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	15%	50%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	3	6
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	37	43
2. Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-referred or CHED recognized journal within the year		2.50%
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	2	10
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	1,898	3,020
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	5	10

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2020

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

80%

95%