

I.3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)BASELINE2020 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams

54.70%

56%

2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

32%

35%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs

54%

56%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

95.83%

100%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

11

14

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

74

78

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international forums within the year

42%

45%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NCAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

12

15

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

1,704

1,850

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

13

16

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

66.70%

80.00%