G. ARMED FORCES OF THE PHILIPPINES

G.1. PHILIPPINE ARMY (LAND FORCES)

STRATEGIC O	BIECTIVE
-------------	----------

SECTOR OUTCOME

Security, public order, and safety ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Level of mission capability of army units in ground operations attained

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (00s) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2020 TARGETS
Level of mission capability of army units in ground operations attained		
LAND FORCES DEFENSE PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators	1407	PHO/
1. Percentage of Tactical Units provided to	40%	57%
force employers that are in prescribed		
readiness condition	40%	40%
2. Percentage of Ready Reserve Units in prescribed readiness condition	1070	1070
Output Indicators		
1. Number of tactical and ready reserve		
units maintained		
a, Tactical Battalions	191	219
b. Ready Reserve Battalions	82	84
2. Percentage of operational readiness of		
tactical and ready reserve units		
a. Tactical Battalions	82%	81%
b. Ready Reserve Battalions	65%	65%
3. Average percentage of effective strength	90%	90%
of tactical battalions that can be mobilized		
within I hour as dictated by higher authorities		

G.2. PHILIPPINE AIR FORCE (AIR FORCES)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Security, public order, and safety ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Level of mission capability of Air Force Units in air operations attained

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)

BASELINE

2020 TARGETS

Level of mission capability of Air Force Units in air operations attained

DEDA	RTMFNT	OF NATIONAL	DEFENSE

AIR FORCES DEFENSE PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Percentage of Tactical Air Operations Group	100%	100%
that supported the Unified Commands		
Output Iudicators		
1. Number of supportable aircraft maintained	154	154
2. Percentage of accomplishment of one-hour	90%	90%
response to flight-directed mission		
3. Percentage of flying hours flown	100%	100%

G.3. PHILIPPINE NAVY (NAVAL FORCES)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Security, public order, and safety ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Level of mission capability of navy units in naval operations attained

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2020 TARGETS
Level of mission capability of navy units in naval operations attained		
NAVAL FORCES DEFENSE PROGRAM		
Ontcome Indicator		
1. Percentage of Naval units provided to		
unified commands	100%	100%
Output Indicators		
1. Number of Philippine Navy (PN) units deployed	134	134
and sostained for utilization / employment		
2. Number of PN units prepared for deployment	38	51
3. Number of Force-Level Support Services	134	137

C.4. GENERAL HEADQUARTERS, AFP AND AFP-WIDE SERVICE SUPPORT UNITS (AFPWSSUS)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

Units sustained

SECTOR OUTCOME

Security, public order, and safety ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sovereignty of the State and the Filipino people protected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)

BASELINE

ONON TARGETS

Sovereignty of the State and the Filipino people protected

JOINT FORCE PLANNING PROGRAM Outcome Indicator 1. Percentage of military plans and policies		
approved and implemented Output Indicator	90%	90%
1. Number of military plans and policies		
formulated and adopted / issued	138	138
JOINT FORCE OPERATIONS PROGRAM		
JOINT FORCE OPERATIONS SUB-PROCRAM Outcome Indicator		
1. Percentage compliance with strategic initiatives,		
memorandum of agreement / understanding and		
other treaties pertaining to Bilateral and Multilateral engagements	100%	100%
Output Indicator	100/0	100/0
1. Number of Bilateral and Multilateral engagements	140	140
JOINT FORCE SUPPORT OPERATIONS SUB-PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator 1. Percentage of successful security operations for	1000/	1000/
president, first family, visiting heads of state /	100%	100%
government and other VVIPs		
Output Indicators		
1. Number of joint operations conducted	192,726	193,226
2. Number of security operations for the president,	192,726 5,944	193,226 5,944
		-
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM		-
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators	5,944	5,944
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other YVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning		-
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators	5,944	5,944
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better	5,944 90%	5,944 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators	90% 90%	5,944 90% 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment	90% 90% 10,852	5,944 90% 90% 10,852
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within	90% 90%	5,944 90% 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment	90% 90% 10,852	5,944 90% 90% 10,852
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained a) Cadets (PMA)	5,944 90% 90% 10,852 90%	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained	90% 90% 10,852 90%	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained a) Cadets (PMA) b) Personnel (Post-Commission) AFP MODERNIZATION SUB-PROGRAM	5,944 90% 90% 10,852 90%	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained a) Cadets (PMA) b) Personnel (Post-Commission) AFP MODERNIZATION SUB-PROGRAM Outcome Indicator	5,944 90% 90% 10,852 90% 1,300 146	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90% 1,300 146
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients treated within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained a) Cadets (PMA) b) Personnel (Post-Commission) AFP MODERNIZATION SUB-PROGRAM	5,944 90% 90% 10,852 90%	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90%
2. Number of security operations for the president, first family, visiting heads of state / government and other VVIPs conducted JOINT FORCE CAPABILITY PROGRAM Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of patients treated returning to duty (AFPMC) 2. Percentage of commanders who rated the new graduates satisfactory or better Output Indicators 1. Number of patients that received treatment 2. Percentage of patients that received within the accepted Length of Stay (LOS) per case 3. Number of students trained a) Cadets (PMA) b) Personnel (Post-Commission) AFP MODERNIZATION SUB-PROGRAM Outcome Indicator 1. Percentage of signed AFP Modernization project	5,944 90% 90% 10,852 90% 1,300 146	90% 90% 90% 10,852 90% 1,300 146