

## **L.6. DEVELOPMENT ACADEMY OF THE PHILIPPINES**

### **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

#### **SECTOR OUTCOME**

Life long learning opportunities for all ensured

#### **ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME**

Transformative leaders, innovative ideas and synergistic solutions towards organizational effectiveness and efficiency achieved

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)BASELINE2020 TARGETS

Transformative leaders, innovative ideas and synergistic solutions towards organizational effectiveness and efficiency achieved

## EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROGRAM

## NGCESDP- Public Management Development Program (PMDP)

## Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage contribution to the pool of trained successors to the CES positions

27%

2. Percentage of Re-entry Plans (REPs) institutionalized

78%

## Output Indicators

1. Number of officers and senior technical personnel provided training / capacitated (intake)

121

175

2. Percentage of re-entry projects implemented

90%

3. Percentage of Re-entry Plans (REPs) and Capstones accepted by the panel

4. Percentage of Capstone Project plans and Capstone Papers accepted by the panel

85%

## Support to the Projects and Programs of the Productivity Development Program

## Outcome Indicator

1. Percentage of "multiplier effect" activities implemented by grantees

18%

30%

## Output Indicator

1. Number of international projects and hostings implemented Education and Training Capability Building Seminar

17

15

## Output Indicator

1. Number of trained participants

72

675

## RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE ON PUBLIC SECTOR PRODUCTIVITY PROGRAM

## Center of Excellence on Public Sector Productivity

## Outcome Indicator

1. Percentage of trained public sector organizations that formulated Innovative Productivity Improvement Project (IPIP) plans

100%

2. Percentage of Government Management Division personnel trained on PSP

4% (25/621)

## Output Indicators

1. Number of local and international specialists trained (including the individuals trained on PSP courses)

75

75

2. Number of productivity innovation projects implemented

4

8

3. Number of researches on public sector productivity issues completed (including researches under HNGPMIRS-Phase VI and MGR)

1

4. Number of agencies that participated in PSP courses/training workshop

10

5. Number of PSP resources developed

5

6. Number of capability development projects on PSP related topics implemented

10

7. Number of agencies participated in the InnoLab program

20

## Harmonization of National Government Performance

## Monitoring, Information and Reporting System (Phase VI)

## Outcome Indicator

1. Average Compliance rate to Good Governance condition and requirements

84%

90%

Output Indicators

1. Number of agencies provided assistance in complying with PBB requirements	307	305
2. Number of research studies conducted	1	
3. Participation rate of agencies	99%	100%
4. Percentage of agencies identified by Inter-Agency Task Force (IATF) assisted by the A025 TWG and Secretariat	100%	100%
5. Percentage of final eligibility assessment of agencies for PBB cycle issued within the IATF prescribed time frame	75%	75%

Modernizing Government Regulations Program

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of unnecessary documents identified relative to required documents		6%
2. Draft policies on regulatory improvement		1

Output Indicators

1. Number of regulatory agencies covered	45	16
2. Number of industries covered	5	3
3. Number of participants trained	426	520
4. Regulatory agencies with regulatory process aligned with RMS		1

Government Quality Management Program

Outcome Indicator

1. Percentage increase in the number of International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9001 Quality Management System (QMS)		-
2. Percentage of agencies provided with technical guidance certifiable to ISO		80%

Output Indicators

1. Number of agencies provided with technical guidance on the development and implementation of QMS	45	50
2. Number of streamlined processes	3	3
3. Number of publications	3	6
4. Number of research studies conducted		1
5. Number of agencies covered on 5s good housekeeping	15	25
6. Number of proposed policy issuance on the adoption of Quality Work Standards		1
7. Whole of government satisfaction survey CSS-Citizen Satisfaction Survey BSS-Business Satisfaction Survey		1 (CSS)
8. Number of proposed policy issuance on the adoption of Service Quality Standards (SQS)	1	1
9. Number of service quality standards developed	10	10
10. Number of agencies capacitated on innovation laboratory	2	3
11. Number of agencies participating in government best practice recognition	19	30
12. Number of best practice conference/forum conducted	1	3
13. Number of quality improvements approaches introduced	5	5
14. Number of participants trained in QMS	900	900
15. Number of agencies provided with relevant training on QMS	30	

\* DAP has Congress-Introduced Changes/Adjustments in FY 2019, thus the increase in targets.