1. REGION VI - WESTERN VISAYAS

I. 1. AKLAN STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innevation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
elevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve incl	usive	
rowth and access of deserving but poor students to quality ter	tiary	
ducation increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-		
takers that pass the licensure exams	52. 71%	57. 14%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	82. 33%	82, 33%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student		
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs	76. 38%	76. 38%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation		
Level I	10. 34%	
Level II	58, 62%	48. 28%
Level III	31. 04%	41. 38%
Level IV		3. 45%
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROCEAM		
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicators		
Outcome Indicators		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any	72. 22%	72. 22%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:	72. 22%	72. 22%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree	72. 22% 66. 67%	72. 22% 66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D)		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	66. 67%	66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for	66. 67%	66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood	66. 67%	66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	66. 67% 0%	66 . 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an	66. 67% 0%	66 . 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program	66. 67% 0%	66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators	66. 67% 0%	66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled	66. 67% 0% 66. 67%	66. 67% 0% 66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs	66. 67% 0% 66. 67%	66. 67% 0% 66. 67%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs 2. Percentage of accredited graduate programs	66. 67% 0% 66. 67% 84. 83%	66. 67% 66. 67% 84. 83%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs 2. Percentage of accredited graduate programs	66. 67% 0% 66. 67% 84. 83%	66. 67% 66. 67% 84. 83%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs 2. Percentage of accredited graduate programs	66. 67% 0% 66. 67% 84. 83%	66. 67% 66. 67% 84. 83%
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs 2. Percentage of accredited graduate programs RESEARCH PROGRAM Outcome Indicator	66. 67% 0% 66. 67% 84. 83%	66. 67% 66. 67% 84. 83%

APPROPRIATIONS	

·		
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	25	25
2. Percentage of research outputs published		
in internationally-refereed or CHED		
recognized journal within the year	36%	36%
Community engagement increased	,	
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		•
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities	12	14
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	3, 641	3, 641
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	17	20
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance	91, 91%	91. 91%

I. 2. CAPIZ STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		

1. Percentage of first-time ilcensure exam-		
takers that pass the licensure exams	51%	52%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		

Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	77%	77%

Output Indicators		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student	•	
population enrolled in CHED-identified	0.79	OFF
and RDC-identified priority programs	87%	87%
Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	68%	73%
with accreditation	OOR	(3%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity		
and innovation		
·	• •	
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM		•
Outcome Indicator		
1. Percentage of graduate school faculty		
engaged in research work applied in any		
of the following:	75%	75%
a. pursuing advanced research degree		
programs (Ph. D)		
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)		
years (investigative research, basic		
and applied scientific research, policy		
research, social science research)		
c. producing technologies for		
commercialization or livelihood		
improvement		
d. whose research work resulted in an		
extension program		
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled		
in research degree programs	100%	100%
2. Percentage of accredited graduate		
programs	60%	60%
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries	2	3
Output Indicators	_	-
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	33	35
2. Percentage of research outputs published		
in internationally-refereed or CHEO		
recognized journal within the year	3%	3%
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
•		
Community engagement increased		
TOTALITARY ADUTTODY PURPLICADE DECORAGE		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
Outcome Indicator 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		
Outcome Indicator 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
Outcome Indicator 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	5	7

Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	14, 200	14, 250
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	6	7
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance	100%	100%

I. 3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-	54. 7%	55%
takers that pass the licensure exams		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	32%	34%
that are employed		•
Output Indicators		
 Percentage of undergraduate student 	54%	55 %
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs		
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs	95. 83%	100%
with accreditation		

STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Higher education	research	improved	to	promote	economic	productivity
and innovation						

RESEARCH PROGRAM Outcome Indicator 1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries Output Indicators	11	13
1. Number of research outputs completed	74	77
within the year		
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and	42%	44%
international forums within the year		
Community engagement increased TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator 1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	12	14
Output Indicators	1 704	1 750
 Number of trainees weighted by the length of training 	1, 704	1, 750
2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	13	15
 Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course / s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance 	66. 7%	78%

1. 4. GUIMARAS STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to provote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (FIS)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-	57. 58%	58. 67%
takers that pass the licensure exams		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	54%	70. 1%
that are employed		•
Output Indicators	67. 98%	94, 41%
 Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified 	01. 30%	54. 41%
and RDC-identified priority programs		
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs	100%	100%
with accreditation		
•		
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity	•	
and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last	4	6
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries		
Output Indicators	07	20
1. Number of research outputs completed	27	30
within the year	100%	100%
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and	100%	100%
international forums within the year	•	
International forums within the year		
•	,	
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	0	0
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities		
Output Indicators	9 900	2 060
 Number of trainees weighted by the length of training 	3, 808	3, 960
2. Number of extension programs organized	40	40
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs		
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	100%	100%
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance		

2018 TARGETS

I. 5. ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

- 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 3. Community engagement increased

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASEL.INE	<u> </u>
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
INVIDE CONSTRUCTOR DESCRIPTION		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicators		•
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-		
	46. 86%	47, 00%
takers that pass the licensure exams 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	40.00%	41. UUM
that are employed	71%	75%
O		10.5
1. Percentage of undergraduate student		
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs	90%	90%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation	86%	89%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity		
and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries	22	24
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed		**
within the year	96	96
2. Percentage of research outputs		
presented in national, regional, and	• = 2	90%
international forums within the year	15%	20%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities
Output Indicators

output Indicators

 Number of trainees weighted by the length of training
 Number of extension programs organized

4, 435

10

4, 455

15

and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the

15

20

training course / s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of

100%

100%

I. 6. CENTRAL PHILIPPINES STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

quality and relevance

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2018 TARGETS

20%

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

with accreditation

1. Percentage of first-time licensure examtakers that pass the licensure exams 81% 85%

2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)
that are employed No data available 60%
Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate student
population enrolled in CHED-identified
and RDC-identified priority programs 55% 55%

15%

Higher educati	on research	improved	to	promote	economic	${\tt productivity}$
and innovation						

RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries	3	5
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	. 37	40
2. Percentage of research outputs published		
in internationally-refereed or CHED		
recognized journal within the year		
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities	2	5
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	1,898	2, 500
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	5	8
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance	No data available	90%

1.7. NORTHERN ILOILO POLYTECHNIC STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inc	lusive	
growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality ten	rtiary	
education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-		
takers that pass the licensure exams	44.62% (340 / 762)	45. 1% (345 / 765)
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	17% (271 / 1594)	20.03% (387 / 1932)
Output Indicators	•	
1. Percentage of undergraduate student		
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs	71% (6673 / 9403)	72% (6840 / 9500)
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation	84. 38% (27 / 32)	84. 85% (28 / 33)
Higher education research improved to promote economic product:	lvity	
and innovation		
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM		
•		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty		
anneal to managed work applied to one		
of the following:		
a. pursuing advanced research degree		
programs (Ph. D)	13% (3 / 23)	18.52% (5 / 27)
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)		
years (investigative research, basic		4- 4
and applied scientific research, policy	26% (6 / 23)	29. 63% (8 / 27)
research, social science research)		
c. producing technologies for		
commercialization or livelihood		0
improvement	0	0
d. whose research work resulted in an	0	0
extension program	0	0
Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled		
in CHED-identified or RDC-identified		
priority programs	100% (444 / 444)	100% (460 / 460)
2. Percentage of accredited graduate	200% (111 / 111)	100% (100 / 100,
programs	75% (3 / 4)	75% (3 / 4)
prominds prograw		
RESEARCH PROGRAM Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries	1	1
Output Indicators	-	-
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	35	63
2. Percentage of research outputs		
presented in national, regional, and		
international forums within the year	100% (35 / 35)	100% (63 / 63)
•		

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of

extension activities

Output Indicators 1. Number of trainees weighted by the

length of training

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's

mandated and priority programs

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course / s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of

quality and relevance

5561

49

54

10

5714

95. 91% (3472 / 3620)

98% (3925 / 4000)

1.8. NORTHERN NEGROS STATE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES .

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2018 TARGETS

84%

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

with accreditation

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-		
takers that pass the licensure exams	50%	51%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	35%	36%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student		
population enrolled in CHED-identified	•	
and RDC-identified priority programs	100%	100%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		

82%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM			
Outcome Indicator			
1. Number of research outputs in the last			
three years utilized by the industry or		•	
by other beneficiaries		5	8
Output Indicators		•	
1. Number of research outputs completed			
within the year	٠.	32	36
2. Percentage of research outputs	•		
presented in national, regional, and			
international forums within the year		53%	55%
Community engagement increased			
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM			
Outcome Indicator			
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,			
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and			
other stakeholders as a result of	,15		
extension activities		10	16
Output Indicators			
1. Number of trainees weighted by the			
length of training		1, 741	2, 100
2. Number of extension programs organized			
and supported consistent with the SUC's			
mandated and priority programs		10	16
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the			
training course / s and advisory services			
as satisfactory or higher in terms of			

I.9. UNIVERSITY OF ANTIQUE

90%

94%

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

quality and relevance

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and impovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

4

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

recognized journal within the year

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	,	
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-	82% (43% / 52%)	85% (44% / 52%)
takers that pass the licensure exams		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	15% (284 / 1,878)	16%
that are employed		
Output Indicators		,
1. Percentage of undergraduate student	76% (8, 955 / 1, 1781)	77%
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs	FON (00 (00)	COM
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs	56% (20 / 36)	69%
with accreditation		
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity		
and innovation		
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of graduate school faculty		
engaged in research work applied in any	,	
of the following:		
a. pursuing advanced research degree	53% (8 / 15)	55%
programs (Ph. D)		
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)	60% (9 / 15)	60%
years (investigative research, basic		
and applied scientific research, policy		
research, social science research)		00W
c. producing technologies for	30% (3 / 15)	30%
commercialization or livelihood		
improvement d. whose research work resulted in an	13% (2 / 15)	15%
		10%
extension program Output Indicators	•	
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled	100% (513 / 513)	100%
in research degree programs		
2. Percentage of accredited graduate	29% (2 / 7)	71%
programs		
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		_
1. Number of research outputs in the last	1	1
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries		
Output Indicators	96	26
1. Number of research outputs completed	26	40
within the year	20% (16 / 81)	20%
Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED	20% (10 / O1)	2014
IN Internationally-refereed of them		

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL	ADVISORY	EXTENSION	PROGRAM
-----------	----------	-----------	---------

Outcome Indicator		•
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	22	22
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and	•	
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities	,	
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the	1, 527	1,600
length of training		
2. Number of extension programs organized	9	9
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs		
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	99%	99%
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance		

I. 10. ILOILO SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
 - 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
 - 3. Community engagement increased

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
44.1		-
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive	·	
growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary		'
education increased		

rowth and access	of	deserving	but	poor	students	to	quality	tertiary
ducation increase	ď							
HIGHER EDUCATION	PF	ROGRAM						

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	•	
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-	65. 62%	65. 62%%
takers that pass the licensure exams		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	30%	35%
that are employed		
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students		
population enrolled in CHED-identified	80%	80%
and RDC-identified priority programs		
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation	90%	90%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

	·		
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM			
Outcome Indicators			
1. Percentage of graduate school faculty			
engaged in research work applied in any			
of the following:			
a. pursuing advanced research degree	.* •		
programs (Ph. D)		45%	50%
b. actively pursuing in the last three (3)			
years (investigative research, basic			
and applied scientific research, policy	* . *		
research, social science research)	* .		
c. producing technologies for			
commercialization or livelihood			
improvement			
d. whose research work resulted in an			
extension program			
Output Indicators			
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled		100%	100%
in research degree programs			
2. Percentage of accredited graduate		*	
programs		100%	100%
• •			
RESEARCH PROGRAM			
Outcome Indicator			
1. Number of research outputs in the last			
three years utilized by the industry or			
by other beneficiaries		1	2
Output Indicators			
1. Number of research outputs completed		•	
within the year	•	25	25
2. Percentage of research outputs published			
in internationally-refereed or CHED			
recognized journal within the year		28. 17%	28. 17%
d			
Community engagement increased			
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM			
Outcome Indicator	•		
 Number of active partnerships with LGUs, 			
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and			
other stakeholders as a result of			
extension activities		27	30
Output Indicators			
 Number of trainees weighted by the 			
length of training		3, 301. 5	3, 301. 5
2. Number of extension programs organized			
and supported consistent with the SUC's			
mandated and priority programs		30	35
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	•		
training course / s and advisory services			
as satisfactory or higher in terms of	•	100%	100
quality and relevance		100%	100%
	•		

I. 11. WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 3. Community engagement increased
- 4. Quality medical education and hospital services ensured

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2018 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam-	66. 11%	66.5%
takers that pass the licensure exams		
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	0%	58.86%
that are employed		
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate student	51. 11%	57.02%
population enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs		
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs	87. 75%	100%
with accreditation		

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of graduate school faculty 0% 67.19% engaged in research work applied in any

of the following:

a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D)

b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)

c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement

d. whose research work resulted in an		•
extension program		•
Output Indicators		•
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled	51.65%	51
in research degree programs		•
2. Percentage of accredited graduate	87. 5%	10
programs		
SEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last	4	19
three years utilized by the industry or	•	
by other beneficiaries		
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed	72	72
within the year		
2. Percentage of research outputs published	18. 34%	19
in internationally refereed or CHED	•	
recognized journal within the year		
unity engagement increased		
CHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	30	34
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities		_
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the	9, 605	9,
length of training		
2. Number of extension programs organized	16	22
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	=	
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	97.77%	97
training course / s and advisory services		
as satisfactory or higher in terms of		
quality and relevance		
ity medical education and hospital services ensured		
SPITAL SERVICES PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Hospital infection rate	1.79%	1.
Output Indicators	2, 100	
-	1:16	1:
1 Doctor to bosnital had ratio		~ •
1. Doctor to hospital bed ratio 2. Bed occupancy rate	90, 07%	90
Doctor to hospital bed ratio Bed occupancy rate Average inpatient waiting time for elective	90. 07%	90