XXV. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

A. OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2018 TARGETS
ound economic and development management effected		
SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator(s)		
1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted	N / A	85% average
2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans	N / A	90% average
for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the	:	
Secretariat		
3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of		
the following with the secretariat services		
provided		
a. NEDA Board	N / A	At least a 2.5 / 5 or 50%
		(Satisfactory) average ratin
NEDA Board Committees:		
b. Social Development Committee	N / A	At least a 3.5 / 5 or 70%
		(Yery
		satisfactory) average rating
c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters	N / A	At least a $2.5 / 5$ or 50%
		(Satisfactory) average ratin
d. National Land Use Committee	N / A	At least a $4.5 / 5$ or 90%
		(Outstanding) average rating
e. Regional Development Committee	N / A	At least a 4.5 / 5 or 90%
		(Outstanding) average rating
f. Other Inter-Agency Committees	N / A	At least a 3.75 / 5 or 75%
		(Very
		satisfactory) average rating
g. Regional Development Councils	N / A	At least a 4.45 / 5 or 89%
2.0%		(Very
		satisfactory) average rating

12.5

Output Indicator(s)					
1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations	99% average	97% average			
on socio-economic and development matters					
prepared or reviewed within the required date					
and / or time of completion					
2. Number of plans prepared / updated and submitted	35 total	14 total			
within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs,		1 RM			
and / or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning		4 RDP 4 RSDF			
respectively, for approval		4 RPFP			
		1 NPP			
3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before	58 total	44 total			
the release of official statistics for each					
reference period					
NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM					
Outcome Indicator(s)					
1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of					
the following with the secretariat services					
provided					
NEDA Board Committees:					
a. Investment Coordination Committee	N / A	At least a 3.5 / 5 or 70%			
, a		(Very			
		satisfactory) average rating			
b. Infrastructure Committee	N / A	At least a 5 / 5 or 100%			
	W / 4	(Outstanding) average rating			
c. Other Inter-agency Committees	N / A	At least a 4.5 / 5 or 80% (Very			
		satisfactory) average rating			
2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the	83. 33%	At least 90%			
Investment Coordination Committee included in the	****				
Public Investment Program (PIP)					
,4.					
Output Indicator(s)					
 Number of annual / medium-term public investment 	17	13 total			
program documents prepared / updated and submitted by	•	1 PIP			
every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency	•	2 TRIP			
bodies for appropriate action		8 RDIP 2 AIP			
2. Percentage of project appraised within target	100%	100%			
deadline	100%	1000			
NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM					
Outcome Indicator(s)		ann t. t. v			
1. Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis	SER adopted in Parts III and	SER adopted in Parts III and			
for Budget Priorities Framework	IV of the 2017 BPF 100%	IV of the BPF 100%			
 Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making 	100%	100%			
made readily available to policy-makers and various					
stakeholders within prescribed period	•				
3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects	N / A	100%			
alerted / assisted to hasten or put project					
implementation back on track and / or to address					
implementation issues					

NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Output Indicator(s)		
1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared		
and released within schedule		
a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)	1	1
b. Regional Development Report (RDR)	15 total	15 total
2. One (1) annual report on the performance of	1	1
Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio		
prepared and submitted to Congress on or before		
June 30 annually		
3. Percentage of programs / projects (i.e. Investment	N / A	At least 90%
Coordination Committee-approved programs / projects		
with complete requirements) re-evaluated within		
target deadline		