STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Human development and poverty reduction

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
2. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
3. Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in:
4. Community Engagement Increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Rationalize and enhance program offering
2. Pursue vertical articulation of faculty
3. Accreditation of curricular programs
4. Establish international and national linkages with funding agencies and consortium with other leading universities
5. Strengthen the capacity of researchers

6. Develop and conduct research in line with the research priority and agenda

7. Implement the Human Resource Development Program

8. Strengthen the monitoring and evaluation system

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program		3%
2. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a. Applied for patenting	a. –	a. 1
b. Patented or commercialized	b. –	b. –
c. Adopted by the Industry	c. 3	c. 1
3. Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in:		
Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement	none	
4. Community Engagement Increased		
1. Percentage change in number of partnership with:		
a. LGUs,		
b. Industry ; small & medium enterprises		
c. Local entrepreneurs; and		
d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development		5%
2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) or technology transfer / extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement	30	55

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2017 Targets
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs	
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs	90%(L1-4,L3-5), 100%(L2)
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs;	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs;	1760
Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame	
Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame	83

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

    Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs

        Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs   39

    Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better

        Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better   95%

    % of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation

        % of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation   90%

MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

    Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years

        Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years   164

    Percentage of research projects/studies conducted or completed within the original project time
        frame in the last 3 years

        Percentage of research projects/studies conducted or completed within the original project time
            frame in the last 3 years   90%

    Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national or international for a in the last 3 years

        Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national or international for a in the last
            3 years   90%

MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

    Number of persons trained weighted by length of training;

        Number of persons trained weighted by length of training;   12000

    Percentage of trainees/clients who rate advisory rendered as good or better

        Percentage of trainees/clients who rate advisory rendered as good or better   95%

    Percentage of persons provided with trainings/technical advise who rate timeliness of services as
        good or better

        Percentage of persons provided with trainings/technical advise who rate timeliness of services
            as good or better   95%