

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced Knowledge and Skills, Attitudes and Values of Filipinos to Lead Productive Lives

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Continuous human resource development particularly among the faculty
2. Integration of outcomes-based education in the current curricular offerings
3. Intensified review classes to improve further licensure exam performance
4. Enhancement of research capability to increase external funding and research and extension productivity
5. Increasing income from IGPs

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

BASELINE

2017 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth

PI. 1 Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC

1.1xnational passing rate

1.1xnational passing rate

PI. 2 Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	10% (220)	200
PI. 3 Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	5% (525)	500
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
PI. 1 Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	1% (1010)	1000
PI. 2 Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	3% (60)	58
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
PI. 1 Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	a. 2	a. 2
b. Applied in course instruction	b. 2	b. 2
PI 2. Number of research and development outputs in the field of agro-industrial technology* published in CHED recognized refereed journals	3	2
PI 3. Number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a. 1	a. 1
b. Publishing (investigate, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b. 3	b. 3
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c. 2	c. 2
Community engagement increased		
PI 1. Number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development*	2	2
PI 2. Number of poor beneficiaries* of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement		
PI 2. Number of poor beneficiaries* of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	2	2

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2017 Targets

MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates	500
Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC	1.1 x nat'l passing rate
Percentage of programs accredited by at: :Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Level 4	40%
Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe	30%

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

% increase in the number of graduates	
Total number of graduates	10
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation	80%
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good/better	80%

MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

Number of research outputs published	
No. of research studies completed	6
Percentage of research projects completed	50%
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	50%

MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Number of clients served with technical advice	
No. of persons provided with technical advice	1220
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	60%
Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	60%