STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Increased Human Capital

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Increase and improve the University's facilities to cater to the needs of Instruction, Research and Extension for rapid and inclusive economic growth.
2. Effective and efficient utilization of the University's productive resources for Instruction, Research, Extension and Income generation purposes to address sustainability of economic growth.
3. Increase the number of accredited programs to sustain quality instruction.
4. Improve the quality of existing curricular programs to align with ASEAN integration.
5. Increase the number of faculty with advanced degrees
6. Increase the number of researches through an effective and efficient incentive scheme.
7. Develop technologies / research outputs for patenting and even commercialization.
8. Increase the number of enrollment and graduates.
9. Increase the number of scholars / grantees / beneficiaries of scholarships, grants, loan programs in order for poor and deserving students to gain access to quality education

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2017

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	1646	1765
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total number of programs	96.66%	97%
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates / national average passing percentage across all disciplines covered by the SUC	73.25% (115% / 157%)	90%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of research studies completed	36	25
Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora	60%	52%
Percentage of research projects conducted or completed on schedule	79%	60%
Community engagement increased		
Number of persons trained weighted by length of training	5116	4317
Percentage of trainees who rate training course as good or better	88.4%	96%
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	87%	82.5%

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2017 Targets
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs (Advanced and Higher Education)	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs (Advanced and Higher Education)	1,765
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total number of programs	
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total number of programs	97%
Average passing % of licensure exam by the SUC graduates/national average % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC. (Higher Education)	
Average passing % of licensure exam by the SUC graduates/national average % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC. (Higher Education)	90%
Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame (Higher Education)	
Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame (Higher Education)	91%
MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES	
Number of research studies completed	
Number of research studies completed	25
Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national, or international fora	
Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national, or international fora	52%
Percentage of research projects conducted or completed on schedule	
Percentage of research projects conducted or completed on schedule	60%

```
MFO 3:  TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES
    Number of persons trained weighted by length of training
        Number of persons trained weighted by length of training                    4,317
    Percentage of trainees who rate training course as good or better
        Percentage of trainees who rate training course as good or better            96%
    Percentage of persons who received training advisory services who rate timeliness of service
        delivery as good or better
        Percentage of persons who received training advisory services who rate timeliness of service
            delivery as good or better                                             82.5%
```