

#### P. 4. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MINDANAO

##### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

##### MANDATE

Pursuant to R.A. No. 4127, entitled "An Act amending certain sections of R.A. No. 763, otherwise known as the Charter of the Mindanao Institute of Technology," the MIT, now, USM, shall offer not only elementary, secondary, vocational and normal course of instruction but also collegiate courses leading to the degrees of Bachelor of Science in Home Economics, Bachelor of Science in Education, Bachelor of Science in Agriculture, Bachelor of Science in Engineering, Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering, Bachelor of Science in Mining Engineering, and such other courses leading to bachelor's degrees. It shall also offer courses on the graduate level along its fields of specialization as the needs of the college and community so demand".

##### VISION

Quality and relevant education for its clientele to be globally competitive, culture-sensitive and morally responsive human resources for its sustainable development

##### MISSION

Help accelerate socio-economic development, promote harmony among diverse communities and improve quality of life through instruction, research, extension, and resource generation in Southern Mindanao

##### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty Reduction and Empowerment of the Poor and Vulnerable

##### SECTOR OUTCOME

Social Sector- Equal Access to Higher Education Services

##### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

##### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

##### KEY STRATEGIES

1. Premier HEI in the Philippines
2. Center of Excellence / Development
3. Research Development and Extension
4. Resource Generation
5. Administrative Support

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1.30 (51.66% / 39.61%)	1.36 (53.7% / 39.61%)
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	2,564	28.71% (3,300)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	2,564	16.42% (2,985)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	2,117	27.54% (2,700)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	152	5.26% (160)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Applied for patenting	a) -	a) -
b) Patented or Commercialized	b) 1	b) 2
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) -	c) -
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	published R&Ds are not in a CHED referred journal	2
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a) Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) -	a) -
b) Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 111	b) 8.11% (120)
c) Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) -	c) -
Community engagement increased		
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	7	57.14% (11)

Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement 2,117 27.54% (2,700)

---

**MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)**


---



---

**2016 Targets**


---

**MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES**

Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	2843
Percentage of accredited programs to total number of programs	
Percentage of accredited programs to total number of programs	97%
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	89%

**MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES**

Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	121
Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment or whose employment status improved within 1 year of graduation	
Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment or whose employment status improved within 1 year of graduation	96%
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	95.6%

**MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES**

Number of research studies completed	
Number of research studies completed	47
Percentage of research output published in a recognized referred journal / submitted for patenting	
Percentage of research output published in a recognize referred journal/submitted for patenting	40% / 194%
Percentage of projects completed within the original timeframe	
Percentage of projects completed within the original timeframe	100%

**MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES**

Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	
Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	4300
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	97%
Percentage of persons given training/technical services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	
Percentage of persons given training/technical services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	97%