M. 2. JOSE RIZAL MEMORIAL STATE UNIVERSITY (JOSE RIZAL MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The University shall primarily provide advanced education, higher technological, professional instruction and training in arts and sciences, philosophy, literature, mass communication, teacher education, agriculture and forestry, engineering and architecture, maritime education, industrial and information technology, hotel and restaurant management, tourism, medicine, nursing and allied health sciences, criminology, geology, public administration, business and accountancy, law, non-traditional courses and other relevant fields of study. It will also undertake research and extension services, and provide progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

VISION

Jose Rizal Memorial State College envisions becoming a center of excellence among institutions of higher learning in the local, national and global arena.

MISSION

Jose Rizal Memorial State College pledges itself to deliver effective and efficient services along instruction, research, extension and production. It commits itself to provide advanced quality professional, technical and technological training with the aim of producing skilled, self-renewed and globally competitive individuals.

KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills, attitudes and values of Filipino to lead productive lives

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

Cascading of targets to the five campuses of JRMSU System; Requiring the campuses to submit monthly reports; giving assistance to respective campus upon report of issues during the monthly MANCOM; and quarterly monitoring and evaluation of campus performance.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 34 (54. 86% / 40. 95%)	1.50 (55.95% / 37.29%)
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	240	10% (264)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	1, 200	5% (1, 260)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	3, 290	10% (3, 619)
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	324	4. 94% (340)

Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the			
industry or by other beneficiaries:			
a) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations; and / or	a.) 68	a.) 82	
b) Applied in course instruction	b.) –	b.) –	
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	27	33	
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:			
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.)	a) 26	a) 11.54% (29)	
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research)	b) 72	b) 50% (108)	
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) –	c) –	
mmunity engagement increased			
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs	27	18.52% (32)	
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development			
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading	3, 345	20% (4, 014)	
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of	3, 345	20% (4, 014) 2016 Tar	gets
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	3, 345		gets
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	3, 345		gets
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	3, 345		gets 1,790
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course	28	2016 Tar	1, 79
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC	28	2016 Tar	1, 79 84
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course	28	2016 Tar	1, 79 84 59
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC	98 Graduates/National Avera	2016 Tar ge Percentage	
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Acc	98 Graduates/National Avera	2016 Tar ge Percentage	1, 79 84 59 70
 and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES <pre>Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Acc</pre> MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Conduct of Research Services	98 Graduates/National Avera	2016 Tar ge Percentage	1, 79 84 59 70 75
and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Acc	es Graduates/National Avera cording to the Prescribed	2016 Tar ge Percentage	1, 79 84 59 70 75
 and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES <pre>Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Acc</pre> MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Conduct of Research Services Number of Research Projects Completed Percentage of Research Projects Completed in the last 3 Y 2012=110%(66/60); 2013=110%(76/69)	es Graduates/National Avera cording to the Prescribed Years (2011=78%(39/50);	2016 Tar ge Percentage Timeframe	1, 79 84 59 70 75 7. 99. 33
 and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES <pre>Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Accembra</pre> MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Conduct of Research Services Number of Research Projects Completed in the last 3 Yercentage of Programs	25 Graduates/National Avera cording to the Prescribed Years (2011=78%(39/50); mal, National or Internat	2016 Tar ge Percentage Timeframe ional Fora 11	1, 79 84 59 70
 and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement JOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Provision of Higher Education Services Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total Graduates that are in Priority Course Average Passing Percentage of Licensure Exams by the SUC Passing Across all Disciplines Covered by the SUC Percentage of Graduates who finished Academic Program Acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Conduct of Research Studies Completed Percentage of Research Projects Completed in the last 3 Y 2012=110%(66/60); 2013=110%(76/69) Percentage of Research Outputs presented in Local, Region 	25 Graduates/National Avera cording to the Prescribed Years (2011=78%(39/50); mal, National or Internat	2016 Tar ge Percentage Timeframe ional Fora 11	1, 79 84 59 70 75 7 99. 33 0% (58/54

270 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

DECEMBER 29, 2015 OFFICIAL GAZETTE 271STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES Number of Persons Provided with Technical Advice Training 135 Percentage of Trainees who Rate the training Course as Good or Better 95% 95% Percentage of Clients who Rate the Advisory Services as Good or Better 95% Percentage of Request for Training responded to within 3 days of Request 95% Percentage of Request for Technical Advice that are responded to within 3 days Percentage of Persons who Receive Training or Advisory Services who rate Timeliness of Service Delivery as Good or Better 95%