

## C. 6. UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN PHILIPPINES

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

## MANDATE

The University of Northern Philippines to give technical and professional training, advanced instruction in literature, philosophy, the sciences and arts, besides providing for the promotion of scientific and technical researches. This UNP mandate was expanded in 1998 to include relevant extension services and quality production towards empowerment of graduates for sustainable development.

## VISION

The University of Northern Philippines envisions itself to be a pioneering Center of World-Class excellence for instruction in the humanities, the arts and the sciences; functional scientific, social and technological researches; relevant extension services and quality production towards empowerment of graduates for sustainable development.

## MISSION

The mission of the University is to provide quality education through modernization, accreditation and effective consultation and linkages; enhance research through expansion of commodity coverage and capacitation of faculty and staff members; intensify extension through provision of impact projects; and Improved production through the optimal utilization of available resources making our graduates effective development managers and useful citizens in the community.

## KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

## SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives.

## ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Align with the objectives, vision, mission of the PHER, Philippine Development Plan, and Regional Development Plan
2. Collaborate and cooperate with other SUCs, government agencies, agency partners and alumni to ensure the success of the University, its faculty & staff and its students
3. Plan the allocation of financial, human and capital resources so as to support efficient delivery and program development
4. Provide a strong investment in building infrastructure for a 15,000-student university
5. Encourage creative research and scholarly activities
6. Invest in new professional programs in areas of high demand

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)BASELINE2016 TARGETS

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth

Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC

1.22 (44.90% / 36.74%)

1.54 (54.5% / 35.5%)

## GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	21.10% (400 / 1,896)	25% (500 / 2,000)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	49.78% (944 / 1,896)	51.8% (1,036 / 2,000)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	11.58% (1,197 / 10,337)	13.20% (1,200 / 9,904)
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	9.11% (109 / 1,197)	9.50% (114 / 1,200)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology* published in CHED recognized referred journals	4	17
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) 45.90% (28 / 61)	a) 58.33% (35 / 60)
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 51.72% (45 / 87)	b) 53.26% (49 / 92)
c. Producing technologies for commercialization	c) 0	c) 2.22% (2 / 90)
Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Applied for patenting	a) 0	a) 1
b) Patent-in-process	b) -	b) -
c) Patented or Commercialized	c) -	c) -
d) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	d) -	d) -
Community engagement increased		
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development *	18%	19%
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries* of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	2,631	3,000

## MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

## 2016 Targets

## MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

## Provision of Higher Education Services

Total number of graduates

2000

Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses

51%

Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ national ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC	1.52
Percentage of programs accredited at : Level 1	23.535%
Percentage of programs accredited at : Level 2	29.41%
Percentage of programs accredited at : Level 3	41.18%
Percentage of programs accredited at : Level 4	0
Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe	93.50%
<b>MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</b>	
Provision of Advanced Education Services	
Total number of graduates	175
% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months after graduation	97.14%
% of graduates who rate timeliness of education delivery / supervision as good or better	91.07%
<b>MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES</b>	
Conduct of Research Services	
Number of research studies completed	75
Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized referred journal or submitted for patenting/patented	13.06%
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	69.33%
<b>MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</b>	
Provision of Extension Services	
No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training	5,200
Percentage of trainees/ clients who rate services rendered as good or better	89.29%
Percentage of persons given training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	91.07%