### E. NATIONAL WATER RESOURCES BOARD

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

### MANDATE

The NWRB is the government regulatory and coordinating agency for water resources management and development in the country. It is responsible for achieving a scientific and orderly development of all water resources of the Philippines consistent with the principles of optimum utilization, conservation and protection to meet present and future needs.

VISION

Water for All

### MISSION

Ensure access to safe, adequate water supply and sanitation at acceptable rates and level of service. Allocate sufficient water that will ensure food security and spur economic development of the country. Protection of the water environment to preserve flow regimes, biodiversity and cultural heritage as well as the mitigation of water-related hazards.

## KEY RESULT AREAS

- 1. Rapid, inclusive and sustained economic growth
- 2. Integrity of the environment and climate change adaptation and mitigation

### SECTOR OUTCOME

Resilience to climate change and natural disasters increased

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Water resources allocation sustainably managed
- 2. Quality, adequacy and accessibility of water supply services maintained/improved

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

# KEY STRATEGIES

- 1.Formulation of water policies responsive to the changing environment, society and climate through the development of Monitoring and Evaluation System for NWRB policies
- 2.Enhancement of operational systems, procedures and standards on processing of permits, resolution of water use conflict and enforcement of laws
- 3.Development of strong coordination and collaboration with partner agencies / institutions (e.g. LGUs, NGAs, Academe) towards achieving sustainable use of water

RGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)	BASELINE	2015 TARGETS
ater resources allocation sustainably managed		
Increase in the number of river basins / critical areas wit scientifically robust water information and decision supportools		One river basin and two critical areas
Increase in the compliance of water permit and CPC condition	ons FY 2013: 10% of monitored violators is in compliance	Increase in compliance from 10% to 15%
Reduction in the number of illegal water users	FY 2010: indicative data of 6,000 (w / o Water Permit / Certificate of Public Convenience)	10% reduction of illegal water users
nality, adequacy and accessibility of water supply services maintained / improved		
Compliance of private water service providers on the standa	rd FY 2013: 8% of existing water	10% of existing water service
of water supply services increased (standards in terms of hof service, water quality, pressure, etc.)	ours service providers	providers
	ours service providers	providers2015 Targets
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)	ours service providers	•
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better	2015 Targets
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better	2015 Targets
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2	2015 Targets
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat	and updated and disseminated tes as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2	2015 Targets  50  years 60
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat Percentage of water permit holders incurring 1 or m	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2 eer permits and CPC) nore violations in the last 3 years	2015 Targets  2015 Targets  50  9ears 60
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat Percentage of water permit holders incurring 1 or mercentage of permit applications acted upon within	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2 eer permits and CPC) nore violations in the last 3 years	2015 Targets  50  years 60
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat Percentage of water permit holders incurring 1 or m	and updated and disseminated tes as satisfactory or better tessued and disseminated over the last 2 ter permits and CPC) tore violations in the last 3 years to four months from date of receipt	2015 Targets  years 6  60
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat Percentage of water permit holders incurring 1 or m Percentage of permit applications acted upon within Monitoring	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2 er permits and CPC) fore violations in the last 3 years a four months from date of receipt eties inspected	2015 Targets  years  60 2 1
of service, water quality, pressure, etc.)  AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  MFO 1: WATER SECTOR MANAGEMENT POLICY SERVICES  Number of plans and policies developed and issued Average percentage of stakeholders that rate polici Average percentage of plans and policies updated, i  MFO 2: WATER REGULATION SERVICES  Permit Issuance  Number of water permit applications acted upon (wat Percentage of water permit holders incurring 1 or m Percentage of permit applications acted upon within Monitoring  Number of water sources/diversion waterworks facili	and updated and disseminated es as satisfactory or better ssued and disseminated over the last 2 er permits and CPC) fore violations in the last 3 years a four months from date of receipt eties inspected	2015 Targets  years  60 2 1

Number of violations or complaints acted upon with reports issued

Number of persons and entities with 2 or more recorded violations in the last 3 years

Percentage of violations where orders are issued within 5 days of detection

Percentage of detected violations failing to conform with orders that are referred for prosecution within 5 days of expiration of order period

120

60

50