BAR No. 1

QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION

As of June 30, 2014

Department : DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT (DBM)
Agency : Office of the Secretary (OSEC)
Operating Unit : Corporate Planning and Reforms Service (CPRS)
Organization Code (UACS) :

[X] Current Year Appropriations
[ ] Supplemental Appropriations
[ ] Continuing Appropriations
[ ] Off-Budget Account

Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets					Physical Accomplishments				Total	Variance as of June 30, 2014	Remarks/ Reason for Under/Over Performance (Variance)
		1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Total	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12 = (8+9+10+11)	13	14
Part A													
I. OPERATIONS	300000000												
MFO 1 Budget Policy Advisory Services	301000000												
Number of Policy advisories submitted		1	1	3	2	**7**	3	4			7	5	**Q1:** Actual Accomplishment is based on the decision of DBCC principals to issue or formulate three (3) new or additional policies based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the first (1st) quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the over-performance in the PI 1, 2, and 3 of this MFO of the FPB. **Q2:** There were additional issues which need policy decisions based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the second (2nd quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the overperformance in PI 1, 2, and 3 of the MFO of the Fiscal Planning Bureau (FPB).
Percentage of policy advisories rated by client as satisfactory or better		80%	80%	80%	80%	**80%**	100%	100%			100%	20%	**Q1:** Actual Accomplishment is based on the decision of DBCC principals to issue or formulate three (3) new or additional policies based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the first (1st) quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the over-performance in the PI 1, 2, and 3 of this MFO of the FPB. **Q2:** There were additional issues which need policy decisions based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the second (2nd quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the overperformance in PI 1, 2, and 3 of the MFO of the Fiscal Planning Bureau (FPB).
Percentage of policy advisories that are provided at least 24 hours before the deadline		80%	80%	80%	80%	**80%**	100%	100%			100%	20%	**Q1:** Actual Accomplishment is based on the decision of DBCC principals to issue or formulate three (3) new or additional policies based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the first (1st) quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the over-performance in the PI 1, 2, and 3 of this MFO of the FPB. **Q2:** There were additional issues which need policy decisions based on recent economic and fiscal developments for the second (2nd quarter of CY 2014. This resulted to the overperformance in PI 1, 2, and 3 of the MFO of the Fiscal Planning Bureau (FPB).
MFO 2 Budget Management Services	302000000												
Budget Preparation													
Number of budget documents submitted		-	2	5	-	**7**	-	-			-		**Q1:** OPCCB indicated that these are for submission on the third (3rd) quarter **Q2:** The President's Budget (PBM) is submitted in July with other budget documents.
Percentage of agencies whose budgets are amended by Congress during budget legislation		-	-	-	10%	**10%**	-	-			-	-	**Q1 and Q2:** The President's Budget is submitted to Congress in July
Number of days submitted to the President prior to his submission to Congress		-	-	2	-	**2**	-	-			-	-	
Directives													
Number of directives and guidelines issued		2	3	6	4	15	10	2			**12**	7	**Q1:** BTS reported that an increase in the number of issuances is due to adjustment In the implementation process of certain budget reforms starting in 2014 **Q2:** BMB-F reported (2) directives and guidelines issued which are the IRR for Credit Support Fund and IRR on the Sin Tax Reform Law. Meanwhile, BTS have not yet submitted their report.

QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION

As of June 30, 2014

Department : DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT (DBM)
Agency : Office of the Secretary (OSEC)
Operating Unit : Corporate Planning and Reforms Service (CPRS)
Organization Code (UACS) :

[X] Current Year Appropriations
[ ] Supplemental Appropriations
[ ] Continuing Appropriations
[ ] Off-Budget Account

Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets					Physical Accomplishments				Total	Variance as of June 30, 2014	Remarks/ Reason for Under/Over Performance (Variance)
		1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Total	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr			
Average percentage of stakeholders who rate the clarity of directives and guidelines as satisfactory or better		85%	85%	85%	85%	**85%**	25%	25%			**25%**	*	**Q1:** For GOCCs the basis of rating is the number of reconsideration of proposals given back by the GOCC concerned, as per the parameters/assumptions set by BMB-F. On the other hand, no actual survey was conducted by BTS during this 1st quarter. **Q2:** Of the balance from the previous quarter, 20 submitted their proposals in accordance with the guidelines. Therefore, all of the 40 GOCCs which BMB-F expected to ask for budgetary support have submitted their proposals and completed in this quarter. Meanwhile, BTS have not yet submitted their report.
Percentage of agencies which consider the average lead time between issuance and compliance as sufficient or better		80%	80%	80%	80%	**80%**	25%	25%			**25%**	*	**Q1:** For GOCCs the basis of rating is the number of reconsideration of proposals given back by the GOCC concerned, as per the parameters/assumptions set by BMB-F. BTS, is still awaiting the result of the survey. **Q2:** All the 20 budget proposals expected by the BMB-F for this quarter were submitted on or before June 6, 2014 which was the prescribed deadline. Meanwhile, BTS have not yet submitted their report.
Budget Execution													
Percentage of request for budget variation or authorization acted upon		85%	85%	85%	85%	**85%**	94.12%	36.46%			65.29%	*	**Q1:** BMBs A to E reported improved accomplishments over their targets. **Q2:** BMB-A reported that out of the (444) requests received for the 2nd quarter, (384) requests were acted upon. On the other hand, BMB-D stated that 1,193 over 1,239 requests were acted upon. BMB-B, C, E were not able to submit their accomplishment reports.
Variance of actual obligations to budget program		0-10%	0-10%	0-10%	0-10%	**0-10%**	10.21%	1.01%			5.61%	*	**Q1:** BMB A and E reported that the SAOB for this quarter have not yet been submitted since submission is on the 30th day after the end of the quarter per COA-DBM Joint Circular No. 2013-1, but this was determined during the 2nd quarter. BMB-B exceeded its target due to an increase obligation by DOH-OSEC, while BMB-C and BMB-D accomplished within the targetted range of 0-10%. This variance of actual obligations to budget program (current/regular only). **Q2:** BMB-D 2nd Quarter Budget program per Financial Plan is 119,517,301, while the actual obligation is reported to be 113,490,526. BMB-A, B, C, and E were not able to submit their accomplishment reports.
Percentage of request for budget variation or authorization acted upon within 15 working days upon receipt of complete documents		85%	85%	85%	85%	**85%**	89.86%	35.38%			62.62%	*	**Q1:** All the BMBs concerned accomplished higher than their targets, as all have acted upon on their agency coverages' request for budget variation in a more improved manner over their projections. **Q2:** BMB-D reported that 1,139 requests were acted upon within 15 days out of the 1,193. Furthermore, BMB-B, C, E were not able to submit their accomplishment reports.
For GOCCs Execution													
Number of GOCC corporate operating budget reviewed		3	10	30	29	**72**	12	28			40	27	**Q1:** Increased in number of GOCCs which submitted COBs ahead as expected. **Q2:** Increased in number of GOCCs which submitted COBs ahead as expected.
Percentage of GOCC corporate operating budget reviewed rated satisfactory or better		80%	80%	60%	60%	**70%**	100%	100%			100%	20%	**Q1:** There are no GOCCs which requested reconsideration of their approved COB level. **Q2:** There are no GOCCs which requested reconsideration of their approved COB level.
Percentage of GOCC corporate operating budget reviews completed within 15 working days of receipt of complete documents		4%	14%	42%	40%	**100%**	17%	39%			56%	38%	**Q1:**There are no GOCCs which requested reconsideration of their approved COB level. **Q2:** There are no GOCCs which requested reconsideration of their approved COB level. GOCC-COB budget reviews completed within 15 working days.

QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION

As of June 30, 2014

Department : DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT (DBM)
Agency : Office of the Secretary (OSEC)
Operating Unit : Corporate Planning and Reforms Service (CPRS)
Organization Code (UACS) :

[x] Current Year Appropriations
[ ] Supplemental Appropriations
[ ] Continuing Appropriations
[ ] Off-Budget Account

Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets					Physical Accomplishments				Total	Variance as of June 30, 2014	Remarks/ Reason for Under/Over Performance (Variance)
		1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Total	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr			
For LGUs													
Number of LGU budgets reviewed		88	64	58	43	**253**	121	68			189	37	**Q1:** There are fifty (50) overperformance on the number of LGU budgets reviewed, which are as follows: NCR - 1; RO I - 1; RO IVA - 3; RO IVB - 2; RO V - 2; RO VII - 15; RO VIII - 4; RO IX - 15; RO X - 1; RO XI - 2; RO XII - 2; RO XIII - 2 On the other hand, five (5) is the number of LGU budgets not reviewed due to non submission yet of concerned LGUs. These became underperformance, as follows: RO III - 3; RO VI - 2 **Q2:** Accomplishment vis-a-vis targets for the quarters are as follows: Target: AB 119, SB 134, Total 253 — AB: 1st 68, 2nd 37 — SB: 1st 53, 2nd 31 — Total: AB 121, SB 68, Total 189
Percentage of LGUs annual budgets reviewed rated satisfactory or better		95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	60.94%	93.75%			77.34%	-17.66%	**Q1:** 8 ROs (NCR, RO I, RO II, RO III, RO IVA, RO VI, RO X, RO XI) has overperformance which is 100% compared to their 95% target. On one hand, CAR underperformed (75% vis-à-vis 95% target). Moreover, RO IVB, RO VI, RO VII, RO VIII, RO IX, RO XII, and RO XIII (waiting for Agusan del Sur) have no survey form received yet from their concerned LGUs. **Q2:** All the Annual Budgets reviewed by Regional Offices were rated satisfactory or better, except for RO X which have not received survey forms results yet for this quarter.
Percentage of LGUs budget submitted with complete documentation reviewed within 75 days		95%	95%	95%	95%	**95%**	99.31%	100.00%			99.66%	4.66%	**Q1:** NCR was the only who have underperformed with a rating of 89% (8 out of 9 LGUs budget). RO I exceeeded target by reporting a 150% accomplishment (3 AB's over 2 targetted). The rest of the LGUs have an overperformance beyond the 95% target. These resulted in a 101% average total accomplishment for the quarter. **Q2:** All Regional Offices reported a 100% accomplishment (percentage of LGUs budget submitted with complete documentation reviewed within 75 days).
MFO 3 Organizational Productivity Enhancement Services	303000000												
Percentage of proposals for organization, staffing, compensation, and position classification review completed		85%	85%	85%	85%	**85%**	53%	59%			56.00%	-29.00%	**Q1:** Proposals involving changes in the organizational structure and staffing pattern (OSSP) of big agencies, i.e., Senate, DTI, MARINA, DOJ, COMELEC, PCOO, BFAR, DOH-FDA and DILG-OSEC require longer time to evaluate since the OPCCB have to coordinate and consult with different stakeholders both in public and the private sectors. **Q2:** The lone full pledged Chief of Division of the Bureau was transferred to other Office and one OIC is under a scholarship training program leaving the load of the divisions to limited number of technical staff.
Percentage of agencies reviewed which rate the quality of review as satisfactory or better		70%	70%	70%	70%	**70%**	98.50%	96.88%			97.69%	27.69%	**Q1:** OPCCB exceeded their target when 37 out of 38 OSSP as a review were rated as satisfactory or better (97% rating - overperformance), and SPIB accomplished 10 out of 10 (100% rating - overperformance) organization and staffing-related proposals which were reviewed and rated as satisfactory or better by agencies. **Q2:** OPCCB reported a 100% accomplishment as they had no reconsideration on all of its 29 organizational structure, staffing pattern and position classification action done. Meanwhile, SPIB made 15 out of 16 (93.75%) organizational and staffing-related proposals of agencies concerned. To sum, this resulted to a 97% accomplishment for 2nd quarter.
Percentage of reviews completed within 60 days		65%	65%	65%	65%	**65%**	79.50%	85.00%			82.25%	17.25%	**Q1:** OPCCB have 38 OSSP requests, wherein 8 OSSP requests over 60 days (30/38 were completed within 60 days) **Q2:** The organizational structure, staffing pattern and position classification requests that were acted upon/completed within 60 days by OPCCB is 26 out of 29 (89%). On one hand, SPIB accomplished 13 out of 16 (81%) agency proposals within 60 days. Thus, a total of 85% accomplishment for this Performance Indicator.

BAR No. 1

QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION

As of June 30, 2014

Department : DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT (DBM)
Agency : Office of the Secretary (OSEC)
Operating Unit : Corporate Planning and Reforms Service (CPRS)
Organization Code (UACS) :

[x] Current Year Appropriations
[ ] Supplemental Appropriations
[ ] Continuing Appropriations
[ ] Off-Budget Account

Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets					Physical Accomplishments				Total	Variance as of June 30, 2014	Remarks/ Reason for Under/Over Performance (Variance)
		1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Total	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr			
Directives and Policies													
Number of policy guidelines and directives issued		3	3	3	6	**15**	3	3			6	0	-
Average percentage of stakeholders who rate the clarity of directives and guidelines as satisfactory or better		60%	60%	60%	60%	**60%**	91%	83%			87.00%	27.00%	**Q1:** Memorandum to BMB and RO Directors dated January 1, 2014 re: GMIS Advisory No. 1 on the Uploading of the PSIPOP in the DBM Data Base; Memorandum for the Secretary dated March 20, 2014 re: Joint Memorandum Circular 2014-1 on the Implementing Guidelines for the Establishment of Local Disaster Risk Reduction Management (LDRRM) or Barangay DRRM; and draft GMIS Advisory No. 2 dated March 30, 2014 re: NOSCA Program (release of the advisory was held in abeyance pending result of the testing of the program). **Q2:** 30 referrals have benn acted upon by the Bureau, and 5 requests pertain to clarification on the directives/guidelines issued.
Percentage of agencies which consider the average lead time between issuance and compliance as sufficient or better		60%	60%	60%	60%	**60%**	60%	100%			80.00%	20.00%	**Q1:** This refers to the Generic Manuals on Controls in the Human Resource Management System, Quality Management System, and the Risk Management System targetted for the fourth (4th) quarter. **Q2:** 3 policy guidelines/directives issued out of 3 targeted for the 2nd quarter of CY 2014
MFO 4 Review and Evaluation Services	304000000												
Number of agencies' performance reviewed and evaluated		203	203	203	203	**203**	198	66			264	*	**Q1:** BMB-D accomplished a lesser number of agencies' performance reviewed and evaluated for this period. **Q2:** Per the parameters/assumptions, it is said that agencies' performance review is conducted at least once a year during the budget preparation/submission of SBR. Per the parameters/assumptions, it is said that agencies' performance review is conducted at least once a year during the budget preparation/submission of SBR. Only BMB-A and D were able to submit their accomplishment reports.
Percentage change in the average utilization rate of agencies		2%	2%	2%	2%	**2%**	-	-				-	**Q1 and Q2:** The data for this PI set is on an annual basis.
Percentage of agencies reviewed and evaluated in the prescribed period		100%	100%	100%	100%	**100%**	97.87%	40.00%			100.00%	*	**Q1:** BMB-D accomplished a lesser number of agencies' performance reviewed and evaluated for this period. **Q2:** Per the parameters/assumptions, it is said that agencies' performance review is conducted at least once a year during the budget preparation/submission of SBR. Only BMB-A and D were able to submit their accomplishment reports.

Prepared By:

OIC-Director Vilma P. Gorospe, CPRS
Date: July 28, 2014

In coordination with:

Ms. Eden Pangilinan, Budget Division
Date:

Approved By:

Assistant Secretary Clare Cattleya G. Amador
Date:

Note:

- Variance shall be indicated upon the complete submission of the concerned delivery unit/s for the particular performance indicator.

exclusive of reports from BMB-B, C, E, BTS , and as well as Part B: Projects under the OCIO

exclusive of accomplishments on MFO 2 "Number of directives and guidelines issued" of BMB-G (awaiting confirmation/approval of IATF of updated PIB targets)

exclusive of accomplishments not targetted on MFO 2 "Number of LGU budgets reviewed" of ROs (awaiting confirmation/approval of IATF of updated PIB targets) - for NCR; RO 2, RO 9, RO, 12, and RO 13