Q.3. SURIGAO DEL SUR STATE UNIVERSITY

544

271,762

342,474

314,341

Appropriations/Obligations (In Thousand Pesos) 2018 2016 2017 Description 314,086 301,274 237,344 New General Appropriations 301,274 237,344 314,086 General Fund 11,106 12,523 13,067 Automatic Appropriations 13,067 12,523 11,106 Retirement and Life Insurance Premiums 5,244 15,865 Continuing Appropriations Unobligated Releases for Capital Outlays 695 R.A. No. 10651 14,077 R.A. No. 10717 Unobligated Releases for MOOE 4,549 R.A. No. 10651 1,788 R.A. No. 10717 18,068 Budgetary Adjustment(s) Transfer(s) from: 17,524 Miscellaneous Personnel Benefits Fund

Pension and Gratuity Fund

Total Available Appropriations

Unused Appropriations	(24,424)	(15,865)	•
Unobligated Allotment	(24,424)	(15,865)	
TOTAL OBLIGATIONS	247,338	326,609	314,341
	EXPENDITURE PROGRAM (in pesos)		
GAS / STO / OPERATIONS / PROJECTS	2016 Actual	2017 Current	2018 Proposed
General Administration and Support	56,385,000	66,006,000	61,007,000
Regular	56,385,000	66,006,000	61,007,000
PS MOOE	48,388,000 7,997,000	53,498,000 12,508,000	39,030,000 21,977,000
Operations	165,370,000	198,654,000	253,334,000
Regular	165,370,000	198,654,000	213,373,000
PS MOOE	105,610,000 59,760,000	116,322,000 82,332,000	135,029,000 78,344,000
Projects / Purpose			39,961,000
со			39,961,000
Projects / Purpose	25,583,000	61,949,000	
со	25,583,000	61,949,000	
TOTAL AGENCY BUDGET	247,338,000	326,609,000	314,341,000
Regular	221,755,000	264,660,000	274,380,000
PS MOOE	153,998,000 67,757,000	169,820,000 94,840,000	174,059,000 100,321,000
Projects / Purpose	25,583,000	61,949,000	39,961,000
CO	25,583,000	61,949,000	39,961,000
		STAFFING SUMMARY	
	2016	2017	2018
TOTAL STAFFING Total Number of Authorized Positions Total Number of Filled Positions	466 337	466 331	. 466 331

Proposed New Appropriations Language
For general administration and support, and operations, including locally-funded project(s), as indicated hereunder......P 301,274,000

ODERATIONS BY DROSDAY	<u></u>	PROPOSED 2018		
OPERATIONS BY PROGRAM	PS	MOOE	CO	TOTAL
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	124,609,000	65,929,000	39,961,000	230,499,000
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM	164,000	1,354,000		1,518,000
RESEARCH PROGRAM	116,000	5,881,000		5,997,000
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM	116,000	5,180,000		5,296,000

EXPENDITURE PROGRAM BY CENTRAL / REGIONAL ALLOCATION, 2018 (in pesos)

REGION	PS	MOOE	CO	TOTAL
Regional Allocation	160,992,000	100,321,000	39,961,000	301,274,000
Region XIII - CARAGA	160,992,000	100,321,000	39,961,000	301,274,000
TOTAL AGENCY BUDGET	160,992,000	100,321,000	39,961,000	301,274,000

New Appropriations, by Programs/Activities/Projects

		Current Operati	ng Expenditures		
		Personnel Services	Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses	Capital Outlays	Total
PROGRAMS					
1000000000000000	General Administration and Support	35,987,000	21,977,000	-	57,964,000
100000100001000	General Management and Supervision	35,640,000	21,977,000		57,617,000
100000100002000	Administration of Personnel Benefits	347,000	. <u></u>	_	347,000
Sub-total, Gener	al Administration and Support	35,987,000	21,977,000	_	57,964,000
3000000000000000	Operations	125,005,000	78,344,000	39,961,000	243,310,000
3100000000000000	00 : Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive				
	growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased	124,609,000	65,929,000	39,961,000	230,499,000
310100000000000	HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM	124,609,000	65,929,000	39,961,000	230,499,000
310100100001000	Provision of Higher Education Services Including P35,936,000 for Scholarships of Poor and Deserving Students (Expanded Students' Grants-In-Aid Program for Poverty Alleviation-ESGP-PA) and P13,250,000 for Tulong Dunong	124,609,000	65,929,000		190,538,000

	Project(s)				
	Locally-Funded Project(s)			39,961,000	39,961,000
310100200001000	Construction of Academic Buildings (Six Campuses)			39,961,000	39,961,000
3200000000000000	00 : Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation	i 280,000	7,235,000		7,515,000
3201000000000000	ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM .	164,000	1,354,000		1,518,000
320100100001000	Provision of Advanced Education Services	164,000	1,354,000		1,518,000
320200000000000	RESEARCH PROGRAM	116,000	5,881,000		5,997,000
320200100001000	Conduct of Research Services	116,000	5,881,000		5,997,000
33000000000000	00 : Community engagement increased	116,000	5,180,000		5,296,000
330100000000000	TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM	116,000	5,180,000	•	5,296,000
330100100001000	Provision of Extension Services	116,000	5,180,000		5,296,000
Sub-total, Opera	tions	125,005,000	78,344,000	39,961,000	243,310,000
TOTAL NEW APPROP	RIATIONS	P 160,992,000 P	100,321,000 P	39,961,000 P	301,274,000
Obligations, by	Object of Expenditures				
CYs 2016-2018 (In Thousand Pes	os)				
			•		
	-	2016	2017	2018	
Current Operatir	g Expenditures	2016	2017	2018	
Personnel Se	rvices	2016	2017	2018	
Personnel Se		2016	2017	2018	
Personnel Se Civilian Permar	rvices	99,548	104,365	108,895	
Personnel Se Civilian Permar E Tota	Personnel Ment Positions Basic Salary Al Permanent Positions				
Personnel Se Civilian Permar Tota Other F Tota Other Other Other	Personnel Ment Positions Masic Salary Al Permanent Positions Compensation Common to All Personnel Economic Relief Allowance Representation Allowance Transportation Allowance Clothing and Uniform Allowance Honoraria Mid-Year Bonus - Civilian Year End Bonus Mid-Step Increment Productivity Enhancement Incentive Performance Based Bonus Mal Other Compensation Common to All Compensation for Specific Groups Magna Carta for Public Health Workers Lump-sum for filling of Positions - Civilian	99,548	104,365 104,365 7,992 168 168 1,665 396 8,697 1,665 752 1,665 31,865 490 6,849	108,895	
Personnel Se Civilian Permar Tota Other F Tota Other Other Other	Personnel Ment Positions Massic Salary Mal Permanent Positions Compensation Common to All Mersonnel Economic Relief Allowance Mid-Year Bonus - Civilian Mear End Bonus Mass Gift Mersonnel Economic Relief Relief Mersonnel Economic Relief M	99,548 99,548 8,208 168 168 1,710 396 8,179 8,072 1,710 1,710 3,566 33,887	104,365 104,365 7,992 168 168 1,665 396 8,697 1,665 752 1,665 31,865	108,895 108,895 7,944 168 168 1,655 396 9,074 9,074 1,655 272 1,655 32,061	

11,106 372 951 372 544	12,523 399 1,040	13,067 397 1,145
372 951 372	399 1,040	397
951 372	1,040	
372		
	399	397
244	196	347
	150	347
13,345	14,557	15,353
1,330	1,330	1,369
153,998	169,820	174,059
1 867	5 002	9,147
	2,002	52,536
	00,107	
		11,334
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	5,736
393	600	670
744	4 450	4 500
·		1,500
4,624	5,325	5,079
		4,118
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- •	6,672
450	350	330
106	380	620
		180
		1,651
	•	175
,,	,	
64	205	185
_		388
100	3,397	500
67.757	94.840	. 100,321
30,122		
221,755	264,660	274,380
25,583		39,961
		·
	3,000	
25,583	61,949	39,961
n.17 000	274 402	244 244
247,338	320,609	314,341
	1,330 153,998 1,867 45,006 2,838 5,532 393 700 4,624 1,870 450 106 168 3,937 48 46 64 108 67,757 221,755	1,330 1,330 153,998 169,820 1,867 5,002 45,006 60,187 2,838 5,380 5,532 3,586 393 600 700 1,150 4,624 5,325 1,870 5,250 450 350 3,937 3,003 48 175 46 150 64 205 108 350 3,397 67,757 94,840 221,755 264,660 25,583 1,000 3,000 3,000 25,583 61,949

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME : Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured.

ORGANIZATIONAL

OUTCOME

: Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

	ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	20	16 Actual	2017 Targets
employed in jobs related to their undergraduate vacces of deserving but poor students to quality erctary education increased Number of RAD outputs patenticifycomercialized/used by the industry or by other beneficiaries a. Applied for patenting b. Patented or commercialized b b c. 3 c. 1 b. Patented or commercialized c. Adopted by the Industry c. 3 c. 1 igher education research improved to promote commercialized improved to promote commonic productivity and innovation Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood improvement Community engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership within. LOBys. D. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, incloementing or undergradiant of the community of the programs and patential development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MRO / Performance Indicators MRO / Performance Indicators Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total to programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Le	Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured control actions control actions are control actions.			
Number of R&D outputs patented/commercialized/used by the industry or by . other beneficiaries a. Applied for patenting b. Patented or commercialized c. Adopted by the industry c. Adopted by the				3%
patented/commercialized/used by the industry or by other beneficiaries a. Applied for patenting b. Patented or commercialized c. Adopted by the Industry c. C. 1 igher education research improved to promote comomic productivity and innovation Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement Ommunity engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership within LOUS. b. Industry: small & medium within LOUS. b. Industry: small & medium within LOUS. b. Industry: small & medium research and other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HICHER EDUCATION SERVICES Forecentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at level				
b. Patented or commercialized b c.3 c. 1 c. Adopted by the Industry c.3 c.3 c. 1 igher education research improved to promote conomic productivity and innovation Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement community engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership within LOBS, b Industry: small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets For 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES tercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or for programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Ver passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ autional ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC or for programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredition for the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the presc	patented/commercialized/used by the industry or by			
c. Adopted by the Industry c.3 c.1 igher education research improved to promote conomic productivity and innovation Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement ommunity engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership with:a. LGUs,b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets For 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 0. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 0. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 10. of programs Vex passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ attional ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3	a. Applied for patenting	a		a. 1
igher education research improved to promote conomic productivity and innovation Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement Omnunity engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership with:a. LGUs,b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MPO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 0. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 10. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total or programs or total no. of programs Ver passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ actional ave % passing across all disciplines covered y the SUC of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic regrams according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic regrams according to the prescribed time fra	b. Patented or commercialized	b		b
Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement minumity engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership with:a. LGUs,b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 0. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 1. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 2. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 3. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total 4. of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 5. of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 6. of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 7.	c. Adopted by the Industry	c.3		c. 1
Community engagement increased 1. Percentage change in number of partnership with a. LOGIS, b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total o. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (flicensure exams by the SUC graduates/autional ave % passing & of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/autional ave % passing across all disciplines covered y the SUC of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of p				,
1. Percentage change in number of partnership with:a. LGUs,b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total to. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs We passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ wational ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC 3. of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of prog				
with:a, LGUs,b, Industry; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) of technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total o. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs ver passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ attional ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of progr	ommunity engagement increased			
technology transfer/extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement MFO / Performance Indicators 2016 Targets 2016 Actual 2017 GAA Targets MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ national ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	<pre>with:a. LGUs,b. Industry ; small & medium enterprises c. Local entrepreneurs; and d. other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial</pre>			
FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total o. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (sumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs across all disciplines covered y the SUC graduates/ actional ave % passing across all disciplines covered y the SUC Programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 Soft programs accredited at Levels 1, 2	technology transfer/extension program & activities			55
ercentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total o. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs Notal no. of programs Notal no. of programs Notal number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Percentage of graduates who finish their academic rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic Percentage of graduates who finish their academic Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	MFO / Performance Indicators	2016 Targets	2016 Actual	2017 GAA Targets
Percentage (cumulative) of accredited programs to total no. of programs We passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ actional ave % passing across all disciplines covered y the SUC Sof programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 In the following the foll	FO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES			
total no. of programs ve passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ational ave % passing across all disciplines covered y the SUC of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 lulumber of graduates in mandated and priority rograms; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; ercentage of graduates who finish their academic rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83				
ational ave % passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Sof programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 % of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 L1 - 6, L2 - 12 L3 - 12 Sotal number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame			57.5%	
% of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2 , 3 and 4 L1 - 6, L2 - 12 L3 - 12 Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	ational ave % passing across all disciplines covered			
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority rograms; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs; ercentage of graduates who finish their academic rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2 , 3 and 4			
otal number of graduates in mandated and priority rograms; Total number of graduates in mandated and priority 1,600 1,563 1760 programs; ercentage of graduates who finish their academic rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	% of programs accredited at Levels 1, 2 , 3 and 4		12	
programs; ercentage of graduates who finish their academic rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83		LS - 12		
rograms according to the prescribed time frame Percentage of graduates who finish their academic 75% 74.98 83	· -	1,600	1,563	1760
reflectivage of graduates who ithis electrodeduce you				
	Percentage of graduates who finish their academic programs according to the prescribed time frame	75%	74.98	83

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES			
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs			
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	35	35	39
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better			
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	100%	100%	95%
% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation			
% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation	100%	100%	90%
MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES			
Number of research studies completed			
Number of research studies completed	56		•
% of research projects completed in the last 3 years			
% of research projects completed in the last 3 years	40%		
Number of research studies completed in the last 3 year			
Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years		178 (67)	164
% of research projects completed within the original project timeframe			
<pre>% of research projects completed within the original project timeframe</pre>	100%	100%	
Percentage of research projects/studies conducted or completed within the original project time frame in the last 3 years			
Percentage of research projects/studies conducted or completed within the original project time frame in the last 3 years		100%	90%
Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional, national or international for a in the last 3 years			
Percentage of outputs presented in local, regional national or international for a in the last 3 year			90%
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES			
Number of persons trained weighted by length of training;			
Number of persons trained weighted by length of training;	12,000	14,319	12000
No. of persons provided with technical advice	12,000	14,012	
Percentage of trainees/clients who rate advisory rendered as good or better			,
Percentage of trainees/clients who rate advisory as good or better	100%	100%	95%

Percentage of persons provided with trainings/technical advice who rate timelines of services as good or better		
% of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	100%	
Percentage of persons provided with trainings/ technical advise who rate timeliness of services as good or better	100%	95%
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	Baseline	2018 Targets
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education ncreased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators 1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam- takers that pass the licensure exams 2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)	95%	101.1% (of the NPR)
that are employed	56%	56%
Output Indicators 1. Percentage of undergraduate student population enrolled in CHED-identified		
<pre>and RDC-identified priority programs 2. Percentage of undergraduate programs</pre>	47%	55%
with accreditation	58%	58%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator 1. Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) b. actively pursuing in the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research)	60%	. 70%
 c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement d. whose research work resulted in an extension program 		
Output Indicators 1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs	70%	70%
Percentage of accredited graduate programs	70%	70%
RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
 Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries 	0	. 2
Output Indicators 1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	25	25
Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED recognized journal within the year	36%	36%
Community engagement increased		

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

length of training

quality and relevance

Output Indicators

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and

other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

1. Number of trainees weighted by the

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of

14319

100%

14319

14

100%