M.2. J. H. CERILLES STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
- 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2024 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam		
takers that pass the licensure exams	26.92%	31.27%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)		
that are employed	55%	91%
Output Indicators		
1. Percentage of undergraduate students		
enrolled in CHED-identified		
and RDC-identified priority programs	94.85%	95%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs		
with accreditation	37% (Level 1)	75%

STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last		
three years utilized by the industry or		
by other beneficiaries	4	7
Output Indicators		
1. Number of research outputs completed		
within the year	7	20
2. Percentage of research outputs		
presented in national, regional, and		
international fora within the year	85.71% (6/7)	95%
Community engagement increased		
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,		
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities	3	13
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the		
length of training	779.50	3,794
2. Number of extension programs organized		
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs	1	11
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the		
training course/s as satisfactory or higher		
in terms of quality and relevance	92.4% (487/527)	94.72%