

## D. NATIONAL ANTI-POVERTY COMMISSION

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

People-centered, innovative, clean, efficient, effective, and inclusive delivery of public goods and services

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

People-responsive anti-poverty government policies and programs institutionalized

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

##### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

##### BASELINE

##### 2024 Targets

People-responsive anti-poverty government policies and programs institutionalized

#### SOCIAL REFORM AND POVERTY ERADICATION COORDINATION AND OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

##### POLICY, PLAN AND PROGRAM ADVISORY, COORDINATION, DEVELOPMENT, REVIEW AND ADVOCACY SUB-PROGRAM

###### Outcome Indicators

- |  |      |      |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Percentage of NGAs and LGUs that adopted policy recommendations                         | 100% | 100% |
| 2. Number of government actions to promote poverty alleviation harmonized and synchronized | 10   | 10   |

###### Output Indicators

- |  |             |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| 1. Number and percentage of policy, plan, and program recommendations prepared as scheduled  | 133; 80%    | 215; 80%    |
| 2. Percentage of policy issues resolved in a single NAPC en banc meeting and rated by stakeholders as satisfactory or better                                   | 80%         | 80%         |
| 3. Number and percentage of pieces of information delivered / advocacy events conducted or opened up for public access rated by stakeholders as good or better | 12,250; 80% | 20,197; 80% |

#### BASIC SECTOR PARTNERSHIP AND PARTICIPATORY PLATFORMS DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE SUB-PROGRAM

###### Outcome Indicators

1. Number and percentage of NGAs and LGUs that

## GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2024

- have basic sector representation in their policy-making and planning and monitoring structures
2. Ratio of Basic Sectoral Councils' agenda carried out

6; 100%  
40%

6; 100%  
40%

## Output Indicators

1. Number and percentage of consultative / convergent platforms organized as scheduled
2. Percentage of stakeholders who rated the platforms as good or better
3. Number and percentage of trainees who rated the trainings as good or better

530; 80%  
80%  
3,076; 80%

913; 80%  
80%  
7,411; 80%