

## K. 7. SAMAR STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Human resources

## ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to qualify tertiary education increased
3. Higher Education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following
5. Community engagement increased

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Advanced and higher education
2. Generation of new knowledge-based resources through research capability activities
3. Provide technical advisory to service communities in the 2nd District of Samar

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
1.1 Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	183.82%	183.82%
1.2 Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	12.14%	12.5%
1.3 Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	2.13%	2.5%
Access of deserving but poor students to qualify tertiary education increased		
2.1 Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	3%	4%
2.2 Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	4%	5%
Higher Education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
3.1 Number of R&D outputs applied for patenting	11	11
3.2 Patented or commercialized	3	3
3.3 Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized journals	66.67%	66.67%

Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work  
applied in any of the following

a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D)	66.67%	66.67%
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research)	40%	41%
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	100%	100%

Community engagement increased

4.1 Percentage change in number of partnership with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	20%	21%
4.2 Percentage Change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood	33.33%	34%

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2017 Targets

MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

1.1 Total number of graduates	
1.1 Total number of graduates	750
1.2 Percentage of total graduates who are in priority courses	
1.2 Percentage of total graduates who are in priority courses	75%
1.3.a Average percentage passing in licensure examinations by SUC graduates/national average percentage passing in board programs covered by SUS - Nursing	
1.3.a Nursing	94%
1.3.b Average percentage passing in licensure examinations by SUC graduates/national average percentage passing in board programs covered by SUC - Engineering	
1.3.b Engineering	54%
1.3.c Average percentage passing in licensure examinations by SUC graduates/national average percentage passing in board programs covered by SUC - Education	
1.3.c Education	75%
1.4.a Percentage of programs accredited - Level 1	
1.4.a Level 1	5%
1.4.b Percentage of programs accredited - Level 2	
1.4.b Level 2	3%
1.4.c Percentage of programs accredited - Level 3	
1.4.c Level 3	5%
1.5 Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timelines	
1.5 Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	74%

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

2.1 Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	
2.1 Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	74
2.2 Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation	
2.2 Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation	96%
2.3 Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	
2.3 Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	97%

## MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

3.1 Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years	
3.1 Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years	89
3.2 Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized refereed journal or submitted for patenting/patented	
3.2 Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized refereed journal or submitted for patenting/patented	42%
3.3 Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	
3.3 Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	85%

## MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

4.1 Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	
4.1 Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	3160
4.2 Number of persons provided with technical advice	
4.2 Number of persons provided with technical advice	944
4.3 Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	
4.3 Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	82%
4.4 Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	
4.4 Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	83%
4.5 Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request	
4.5 Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request	84%
4.6 Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	
4.6 Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	83%
4.7 Percentage of persons who receive the training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	
4.7 Percentage of persons who receive the training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	89%