

## I. 5. GUIMARAS STATE COLLEGE

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Continuous curriculum enhancement, upgrading of facilities, provision of scholarship to students, funding administrative support for the functional areas on instruction, research and extension.

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE             | 2017 TARGETS   |
|---|----------------------|----------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                      |                |
| a. Average percentage passing in licensure by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC | 1.45 (56 / 38.62)    | 1.57 (58 / 37) |
| b. Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program                                     | 330                  | 19.8% (393)    |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |                      |                |
| a. Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid   | 46.68% (1210 / 2592) | 9.0% (300)     |

b. Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid who completed their degrees 53.91% (200 / 371) 8.33% (130)

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

1. Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by industry or by other beneficiaries: a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / community-based organizations; and b. Applied in instruction a. 2;and b. 4 a. 3;and b. 6

2. Number of Research and Development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals 1 1

3. Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (PhD) b. Publishing (investigate, or basic and applied scientific research) c. Producing Technologies for commercialization of livelihood improvement a. none b. 87.05% (15) c. 125.0% (9) a. 100% (1) b. 0% (20) c. 0% (12)

Community engagement increased

1. Percentage change in number of partnership with : a. LGUs b. Industry; small & medium enterprises c. local entrepreneurs, d. other national agency; engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development 28.57% (2 / 7x100) 28.57% (2 / 7x100)

2. Number of poor beneficiaries (households) or technology transfer / extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement 30.28% (912-700 / 700x100) 30.28% (912-700 / 700x100)

#### MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2017 Targets

##### MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| total number of graduates  | 746        |
| % OF PROGRAM ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 1   | 0          |
| % OF PROGRAMS ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 2  | 0          |
| % OF PROGRAMS ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 3  | 7/7 (100%) |
| % OF PROGRAMS ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 4  | 0          |
| AVERAGE PASSING % OF LICENSURE EXAMS BY THE SUC GRADUATES/NATIONAL AVERAGE PASSING % | 39.41%     |

##### MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| NUMBER OF RESEARCH COMPLETED  | 27           |
| % OF RESEARCH PROJECTS COMPLETED IN THE LAST THREE YEARS                          | 100% (77/77) |
| % OF RESEARCH OUTPUTS PRESENTED IN LOCAL, REGIONAL, NATIONAL & INTERNATIONAL FORA | 100% (23/23) |
| % OF RESEARCH PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE ORIGINAL PROJECT TIMEFRAME            | 100% (27/27) |

##### MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

|  |                  |
|--|------------------|
| NUMBER OF PERSON TRAINED WEIGHTED BY LENGTH OF TRAINING                    | 2560             |
| NUMBER OF PERSONS PROVIDED WITH TECHNICAL ADVICE                           | 1280             |
| % OF TRAINEES WHO RATE THE TRAINING COURSE AS GOOD OR BETTER               | 100% (1280/1280) |
| % OF CLIENTS WHO RATE THE ADVISORY SERVICES AS GOOD OR BETTER              | 100% (1280/1280) |
| % OF REQUEST FOR TRAINING RESPONDED TO WITHIN 3 DAYS                       | 100% (40/40)     |
| % OF REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL ADVICE THAT ARE RESPONDED TO WITHIN THREE DAYS  | 100% (40/40)     |
| % OF PERSONS WHO RECEIVE TRAINING OR ADVISORY SERVICES WHO RATE TIMELINESS | 100% (1280/1280) |