

### I. 3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and Innovation
4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Establish quality assurance through accreditation of academic programs. Produce quality research outputs that would respond to the needs of the community through its extension services.

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2017 TARGETS</u>
Relevant quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1.59 (55.16 / 34.76)	1.63
Percentage (change in number) of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	9.7% (142)	10% (193)
Percentage (change in number) of graduates in priority programs	27% (504 / 1,867)	44% (1,126 / 2,364)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		

Percentage (change in number) of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	30.05% (561 / 1,867)	27.90% (717 / 2,570)
Percentage (change in number) of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	18.65% (94 / 504)	10.12% (114 / 1,126)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries: a. Adopted by industry / small medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations;and b. Applied in the course of instruction	a. 1 and b. 1	a. 4 and b. 6
Number of research and development outputs in the field of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	0	2
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs; b. Publishing (investigative, basic or applied scientific research); and c. Producing technologies for commercialization of livelihood development	a. 0; b. 0; and c. 9	a. 7; b. 0; and c. 20
Community engagement increased		
Percentage change in number of partnership with LGUs, industry, small & medium enterprises, local entrepreneurs and other national agency engaged in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	55% (20)	50% (30)
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension program & activities leading to livelihood improvement	47.58% (1,857)	1,857

## MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

## 2017 Targets

## MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

Percentage of graduates who finish their academic course	
Total Number of Graduates	1900
Percentage of total graduates that are of priority courses	27%
Average passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave % passing across all discipline covered by the SUC	163%
% of program accredited at Level 1	100%
% of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe	69%

## MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES

Percentage of research project conducted or completed	
Number of research studies completed	47
% of research projects completed in the last three years	95%
% of research output presented in local, regional, national or international fora	80%
% of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	100%

## MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Percentage of request fopr Technical Advice that are responded.	
Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	1658
Number of persons provided with technical advise	765
% of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	80%
% of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	80%

% of requests for training responded within three days of request	80%
% of requests for technical advice that are responded within three days	80%
% of person who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	86%