

G. 5. ROMBLON STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge and skills, attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. RELEVANT AND QUALITY TERTIARY EDUCATION ENSURED TO ACHIEVE INCLUSIVE GROWTH
2. ACCESS OF DESERVING BUT POOR STUDENTS TO QUALITY TERTIARY EDUCATION INCREASED
3. HIGHER EDUCATION RESEARCH IMPROVED TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC PRODUCTIVITY AND INNOVATION
4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT INCREASED

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Continuous accreditation of program offerings
2. Strengthening of research and extension capabilities
3. Faculty and staff development through trainings, seminars, and continuing professional education.
4. Continuous upgrading of IT equipment, software & peripherals and wireless connectivity of departments and campuses.
5. Upgrading of library holdings through the purchase of additional books, references and journals and the subscription to e-libraries.
6. Upgrading / repair / rehabilitation of laboratories and other facilities.
7. Establishment of linkages with potential academic partners / grantors.
8. Establishment / strengthening of income generating projects.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
RELEVANT AND QUALITY TERTIARY EDUCATION ENSURED TO ACHIEVE INCLUSIVE GROWTH		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	103.22% (58.75% / 56.92%)	95% (51.87% / 55.17%)

Percentage decrease in number of sub-standard and below average performing programs	4.17% (250)	0.00%
---	-------------	-------

Percentage increase of graduates in priority programs	1.87% (1350)	15.93% (1565)
---	--------------	---------------

ACCESS OF DESERVING BUT POOR STUDENTS TO QUALITY TERTIARY EDUCATION INCREASED

Percentage of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	2.3% (800)	5.63% (845)
---	------------	-------------

Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	2.56% (320)	-21.875% (250)
---	-------------	----------------

HIGHER EDUCATION RESEARCH IMPROVED TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC PRODUCTIVITY AND INNOVATION

Percentage of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries

a) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprise / LGU / Community-based Organizations; and / or	a) 25.00% (5)	a) -60% (2)
--	---------------	-------------

b) Applied in course instruction	b) 2	b) -50% (1)
----------------------------------	------	-------------

Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	50.00% (3)	50.00% (3)
---	------------	------------

Number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:

a) Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D.)	a) 10.00% (11)	a) 9.00% (12)
--	----------------	---------------

b) Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research	b) 7.69% (14)	b) 8.00% (15)
--	---------------	---------------

c) Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) 40.00% (7)	c) 14.00% (8)
---	---------------	---------------

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT INCREASED

Number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	11.54% (29)	10.35% (32)
---	-------------	-------------

Number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	6.06% (35)	5.71% (37)
---	------------	------------

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2017 Targets

MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates

Quantity: Total number of graduates

1600

Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses

Quality 1: Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses

85%

Average passing percentage in licensure exams by SUC graduates/national average percentage passing in board programs to total no. of programs	
Quality 2: Average passing percentage in licensure exams by SUC graduates/national average percentage passing in board programs to total no. of programs	31%
Percentage of programs accredited at:	
Level 1	11.67%
Level 2	13.73%
Percentage of graduates who finished academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	
Timeliness: Percentage of graduates who finished academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	85%
MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES	
Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	
Quantity: Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	8
Percentage of graduates engage in employment within 6 months of graduation	
Quality: Percentage of graduates engage in employment within 6 months of graduation	95%
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/ supervision as good or better	
Timeliness: Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/ supervision as good or better	88%
MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES	
Number of research studies completed	
Quantity: Number of research studies completed	46
Percentage of Research projects completed in the last three years	
Quality: Percentage of Research projects completed in the last three years	87%
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora	
For Levels 1 - 2 SUCs	51%
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	
Timeliness: Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	95%
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	
Number of persons trained weighted by length of training	
Quantity 1: Number of persons trained weighted by length of training	3550
Number of persons provided with technical advice	
Quantity 2: Number of persons provided with technical advice	450
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	
Quality 1: Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	93%
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	
Quality 2: Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	81%
Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request.	
Timeliness 1: Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request.	96%
Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	
Timeliness 2: Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	96%
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timelines of service delivery as good or better	
Timeliness 3: Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timelines of service delivery as good or better	81%