GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2017

F. 3. LAGUNA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the Poor

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

Scholarship Programs, Faculty and Staff Development
Industry-Academe linkages, Focused RD and E agenda
Capacity building, Fund Sourcing, Massive information
Education Campaign, Engage in PPP, Complementation
Collaboration and Linkages, & Accreditation (local & international)

a) Adopted by the industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU $\;$ a) 2

/ Community-based Organizations and / or

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program	71%	70%
Average percentage passing in Licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 44 (53. 62% / 37. 10%)	1. 05%
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	1, 102	1, 150 (4. 36%)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	1, 950	1.54% (1,980)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	512	3. 52% (530)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		

a)2

b) Applied in course instruction	b) 8	b)8	
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized reference journals	1	4	
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in:			
a) Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D.) or	a) 9	a) 33.33% (12)	
b) Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 0	b) 0	
c) Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement	c) 5	c) 20% (7)	
munity Engagement Increased			
Percentage change in number of partnership with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing, or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	13	38.46% (18)	
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology			
transfer / extension programs and activities			
	83	2. 41% (85)	
transfer / extension programs and activities	83		7 Targets
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	83		7 Targets
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	83		7 Targets
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	83		7 Targets
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education	83		-
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g		201	2983 38. 32%
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC		201	2983 38. 32% 144%
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1		201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2		201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100%
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71%
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years	raduates/national average percentag	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76%
transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	raduates/national average percentage of the percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services	raduates/national average percentage of the percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training	raduates/national average percentage of the percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of clients who rate the training course as go Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as general services as general programs accurate the program accurate advisory services as general programs accurate the program accurate accura	raduates/national average percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe od or better ood or better	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76% 57. 5%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of request for training responded to within 3	raduates/national average percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe od or better ood or better days of request	201	2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76% 57. 5% 1100 710 80% 80% 80%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of request for training responded to within 3 Percentage request for technical advice that are responder	raduates/national average percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe od or better ood or better days of request d to within 3 days request		2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76% 57. 5%
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go Percentage of request for training responded to within 3	raduates/national average percentage ording to the perscribed timeframe od or better ood or better days of request d to within 3 days request		2983 38. 32% 144% 85. 71% 100% 25% 94. 76% 57. 5% 1100 710 80% 80% 80%