#### F. REGION IVA - CALABARZON

#### F. 1. BATANGAS STATE UNIVERSITY

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge and skills, attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Produce Excellent and Competent Graduates Produce Quality Research and Creative Works Improve Community Engagement Implement Efficient and Value-laden Leadership and Management Enhance International Relation and Linkages OFFICIAL GAZETTE

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 38 (51. 16% / 37. 04%)	1. 45
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	0 (Still starting the graduate tracer study)	5% (210)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	70. 52% (3, 193 / 4, 528)	3. 53% (3, 520 / 4, 754)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	13, 787	5,000 (due to K to 12 implementation)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	1, 792	650 (due to K to 12 implementation)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Applied for patenting	a) 8	a)8
b) Patented or Commercialized	b) 0	b) 0
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) 3	c) 3
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	9	2
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) 12	a) 1
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 0	b) 0
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) 0	c) 0
Community Engagement Increased		
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using	51	11.76% (57)

new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development

DECEMBER 29, 2016

R FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2017 Targets
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	
Higher Education Services	
Total number of graduates	4
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority programs	74.
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national average percentage	
passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC	145.
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1	
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2	
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3	
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 4 Percentage of graduates in the mandated/priority programs graduated within the prescribed period	93.
MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES	
Advanced Education Services	
Total number of graduates	
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation	100.
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	100.
MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES	
Research Services	
Number of research studies completed	
Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 years	89.
Percentage of research outputs published in recognized journal or submitted for patenting or	
patented	43.
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	91.
MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	
Technical Advisory Extension Services	
Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	7,
Number of persons provided with technical advice	6,
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better	86.
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	86.
Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request	87.
Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days	87.
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service	
delivery as good or better	84.

# F. 2. CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

# SECTOR OUTCOME

Sustain human development thru poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

113

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

## KEY STRATEGIES

Effective, efficient and transparent use of total financial resources (General Appropriations Act and Internally Generated Income)

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1.36 (61.34% / 45.21%)	1.35 (63% / 46.78%)
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	15.78% (247 / 1,565)	20%
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	2, 633	2, 985 (13. 37%)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	758	800 (5.54%)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	94	100 (6.38%)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Applied for patenting	a) 0	a) 10
b) Patented or Commercialized	b) 8	b) 5
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) 1	c) 5
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	2	2
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work		

applied in any of the following:

a. Purs				
	uing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) 17%	a) 20%	
b. Publ researc	ishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific h) or	b) 11%	b) 11%	
c. Prod improve	ucing technologies for commercialization or livelihood ment	c) 27%	c) 31%	
munity E	ngagement Increased			
industr and oth	age change in number of partnerships with LGUs, y, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs er national agency in developing, implementing or using hnologies relevant to agro-industrial development	11	24 (118.18%)	
transfe	age change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement	4, 102	4, 922 (19. 99%)	
FINAL	OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)		2017 Targets	
MFO 1:	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES			
	Total number of graduates			4880
	Percentage of total graduates that are in priority cours	es		61%
	Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC	graduates/national average	percentage	
	passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC			177%
	Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1			17%
	Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2			23%
	Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3			9%
	Percentage of programs accredited at Level 4			1%
	Percentage of graduates who finished academic program ac	cording to the prescribed ti	neframe	74%
MFO 2:	ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES			
	Total number of gradustes			40
	Total number of graduates Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education	•	or better	40 50% 83%
MFO 3:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m	•	or better	50%
MFO 3:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education	•	or better	50%
MFO 3:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES	delivery/supervision as good	or better	50% 83%
MFO 3:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized	delivery/supervision as good	tenting or	50% 83% 25
MFO 3:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67%
MFO 3: MFO 4:	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100% 88%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES No. of persons trained weighted by the length of trainin	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe g	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100% 88%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES No. of persons trained weighted by the length of trainin No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as g Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe g ood or better good or better	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100% 88% 1942 577 85% 85%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES No. of persons trained weighted by the length of trainin No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as g Percentage of requests for technical advice that are res	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe g ood or better good or better ponded to within 3 days	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100% 88% 1942 577 85%
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 m Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 year Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized patented Percentage of research projects completed within the ori TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES No. of persons trained weighted by the length of trainin No. of persons provided with technical advice Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as g Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as	delivery/supervision as good s journal or submitted for pa ginal project timeframe g ood or better good or better ponded to within 3 days	tenting or	50% 83% 25 67% 100% 88% 1942 577 85% 85%

# F. 3. LAGUNA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the Poor

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

### KEY STRATEGIES

Scholarship Programs, Faculty and Staff Development Industry-Academe linkages, Focused RD and E agenda Capacity building, Fund Sourcing, Massive information Education Campaign, Engage in PPP, Complementation Collaboration and Linkages, & Accreditation (local & international)

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program	71%	70%
Average percentage passing in Licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 44 (53. 62% / 37. 10%)	1. 05%
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	1, 102	1, 150 (4. 36%)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	1, 950	1.54% (1,980)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	512	3. 52% (530)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a) Adopted by the industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations and / or	a) 2	a)2

b) Applied in course instruction	b) 8	b)8	
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of	1	4	
agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized			
reference journals			
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in:			
a) Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D. ) or	a) 9	a) 33.33% (12)	
b) Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 0	b) 0	
c) Producing Technologies for commercialization of Livelihood Improvement	c) 5	c) 20% (7)	
munity Engagement Increased			
Percentage change in number of partnership with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing, or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	13	38.46% (18)	
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities			
leading to livelihood improvement	83	2.41% (85)	
leading to livelihood improvement OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	83		017 Targets
OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)	83		017 Targets
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	83		017 Targets
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education	83		
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates	83		298
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses		2	298
OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g		2	298: 38. 329
OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC		2	298; 38, 329 1449
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1		2	298; 38. 329 1449 85. 719
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2		2	2983 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1	raduates/national average percenta	2	2983 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009 259
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3	raduates/national average percenta	2	298: 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc	raduates/national average percenta	2	017 Targets 2983 38.329 1449 85.719 1009 259 94.769
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC g passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES	raduates/national average percenta	2	2983 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009 259 94. 769
DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Higher Education Total Number of Graduates Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1 Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2 Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3 Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES Research Services	raduates/national average percenta	2	298 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25 94. 76
<ul> <li>OR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         Higher Education             Total Number of Graduates             Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses             Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC             passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC             Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1             Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2             Percentage of graduates who finished academic program access             MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES             Research Services             Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta	2	298: 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25 94. 76
<ul> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         Higher Education             Total Number of Graduates             Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses             Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC             passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC             Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1             Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2             Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc             MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES             Research Services             Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years             MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li></ul>	raduates/national average percenta	2	298: 38. 32! 144! 85. 71! 100! 25! 94. 76! 57. 5!
<ul> <li>DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Higher Education</li> <li>Total Number of Graduates</li> <li>Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC generating of Programs Accredited at Level 1</li> <li>Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acceleration</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES         <ul> <li>Research Services</li> <li>Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Technical Advisory Extension Services</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta	2	298: 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25 94. 76 57. 5 110
<ul> <li>DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Higher Education</li> <li>Total Number of Graduates</li> <li>Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC generating of Programs Accredited at Level 1</li> <li>Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelerate at Level 3</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelerate at Level 3</li> <li>Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Technical Advisory Extension Services</li> <li>No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta cording to the perscribed timeframe	2	298: 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25 94. 76 57. 5 57. 5
<ul> <li>AR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Higher Education</li> <li>Total Number of Graduates</li> <li>Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC generating of Programs Accredited at Level 1</li> <li>Percentage of Programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelerate of graduates who finished academic program accelerate of Services</li> <li>Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Technical Advisory Extension Services</li> <li>No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training No. of persons provided with technical advice</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta cording to the perscribed timeframe	2	298: 38. 32 144 85. 71 100 25 94. 76 57. 5 57. 5 1100 710 80
<ul> <li>DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Higher Education</li> <li>Total Number of Graduates</li> <li>Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program acc</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Research Services         <ul> <li>Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li> <li>Technical Advisory Extension Services             <ul> <li>No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training</li> <li>No. of persons provided with technical advice</li> <li>Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as go</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta cording to the perscribed timeframe wood or better good or better	2	298: 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009 259 94. 769 57. 59 1100 710 809 809
<ul> <li>DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Higher Education</li> <li>Total Number of Graduates</li> <li>Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelted at Level 3</li> <li>Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelted at Level 3</li> <li>Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> </li> <li>MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         <ul> <li>Technical Advisory Extension Services</li> <li>No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training</li> <li>No. of persons provided with technical advice</li> <li>Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as get</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	raduates/national average percenta cording to the perscribed timeframe cord or better good or better days of request	2	2983 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009 259
<ul> <li>DR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         Higher Education             Total Number of Graduates             Percentage of Total graduates that are priority courses             Average passing percentage of licensure exam by the SUC             passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC             Percentage of Programs Accredited at Level 1             Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2             Percentage of graduates who finished academic program accelered at Level 3             Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</li> </ul> <li>MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES         <ul>             Research Services             Percentage of research project completed in last 3 years</ul></li> MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES             Technical Advisory Extension Services             No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training             No. of persons provided with technical advice             Percentage of clients who rate the training course as go             Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as g             Percentage of request for training responded to within 3	raduates/national average percenta cording to the perscribed timeframe cord or better good or better days of request ed to within 3 days request	ge2	2983 38. 329 1449 85. 719 1009 259 94. 769 57. 59 1100 710 809 809 809

# F. 4. SOUTHERN LUZON STATE UNIVERSITY

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

## SECTOR OUTCOME

Human development and poverty reduction

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Promote programs and projects on instruction, research & extension			
Manage resources to enhance instruction, research and extension programs, projects and activities			
Disseminate activities on instruction, research and extension			

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in Licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 44 (52. 84% / 36. 53%)	1. 60
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program	513	10.72% (568)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	1, 283	3. 55% (1, 266)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	76. 25% (1, 895)	0% (1,895)
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	31.91% ( 172 )	5. 43% (511)
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		
a. Adopted by the Industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	a) 0	a) 1

b. Appl	ied in course instruction	b) 2	b) 2	
	of research and Development outputs in the fields of dustrial technology published in CHED recognized referre s	3	4	
Percent applied	age change in number of faculty engaged in research work 1 in:			
a. Purs	suing advanced research degree programs (Ph. D. ) or	a) 140% (12)	a) 58.33%(19)	
b. Publ researc	ishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific h) or	b) 14. 28% (8)	b) 12.5%(9)	
c. Prod improve	lucing technologies for commercialization of livelihood ement	c) 8	c) 25%(10)	
Community E	Engagement Increased			
small a nationa	age change in number of partnership with LGUs, industry, and meduim enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other al agency in developing, implementing or using new .ogies relevant to agro-industrial development	27.65% (13 / 47)	10% (12)	
	age change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology er / extension programs & activities leading to livelihood ement	5. 56% (33 / 593)	11.11% (11)	
MAJOR FINAL	, OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)			2017 Targets
	HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES			
птя	cher Education Services Total number of graduates			2850
	Percentage of graduates that are in the priority courses			46%
	Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC	graduates /national average percent	tage	
	passing across all discipline covered by the SUC			160%
	Percentage of programs accredited Level 1			49.99%
	Percentage of programs accredited Level 2			9.61%
	Percentage of programs accredited Level 3			21.16%
	Percentage of programs accredited Level 4	and according to the presenthal time		19. 24% 91%
	Percentage of graduates who finished their academic progr	ams according to the prescribed th		91%
MFO 2:	ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES			
Adv	vanced Education Services			
	Total number of graduates			68
	Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 mm Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education of			100% 96%
MFO 3:	RESEARCH SERVICES			
Res	earch Services			
	Number of research studies completed ( in the line last t	hree years )		20
	Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 years			90%
	Percentage of research outputs presented in local, nation			90%
	Percentage of research projects conducted or completed or projected time frame	n schedule/within the original proje	ect	97%
	Research Center Established			2

# MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Technical Advisory Extension Services	
Number of person trained weighted by length of training	1880
Number of persons provided with technical advice	60
Percentage of trainees who rate the training courses as good or better	90%
Percentage clients who rate the advisory services as good or better	95%
Percentage of request for training responded to within 3 days of request	95%
Percentage of request technical advice that are responded to w/in 3 days	95%
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service	
delivery as good or better	95%

# F. 5. UNIVERSITY OF RIZAL SYSTEM

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

## SECTOR OUTCOME

Promotion of education, science and technology to attain higher productivity

## ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

## KEY STRATEGIES

University-wide Infrastructure and Facilities Development Excellence in Human Resource Profiling		
Excellence in Academic and Administrative Processes and Services		
Branding and Image Building		
ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	0.90 (33.01% / 36.78%)	1.45 (62% / 43%)
Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	605	174. 38% (1, 660)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	2, 552	3. 92% (2, 652)
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		

DECEMBER 29, 2016

Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	7% (174 / 2,452)	18% (3, 550)		
Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	13%(73 / 573)	5% (630)		
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation				
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries				
a) Applied for patenting	a) 0	a) 3		
b) Patented or Commercialized	b) 0	b) 1		
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) 1	c) 1		
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals	0	0		
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:				
a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) 20	a) 20%(24)		
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) 4	b) 50%(6)		
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) 4	c) 25%(5)		
Community Engagement Increased				
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	36	25% (5)		
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	1, 998	11% (1, 277)		
MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)			2017 Targets	
MF0 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES				
Higher Education Services				
Total number of graduates			36	500
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority cours	es			31%
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC		age	0	1.14
passing across all disciplines covered by the SUC	<b>.</b>		10	00%
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 1			2	28%
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 2			1	.3%
Percentage of programs accredited at Level 3			1	9%
Percentage of graduates who finished academic programs a	ccording to the prescribed timefram	e	8	36%

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2017

122

#### MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES Advanced Education Services Total number of graduates 92 Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation 98% 91% Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better MEO 3 RESEARCH SERVICES **Research Services** No. of research studies completed 22 Percentage of research projects completed in last 3 years 41% Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or patented 41% Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe 74% MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES Technical Advisory Extension Services No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training 3833 No. of persons provided with technical advice 144 Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better 86% Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better 86% Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request 86% Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days 86% Percentage of persons who received training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service 86% delivery as good or better