

D. 2. CAGAYAN STATE UNIVERSITY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

1. Enhanced knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values to lead productive lives.
2. Globally competitive, and innovative industry and service sectors achieved.
3. Equitable access to social goods and services improved.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Continued implementation of the "No Tuition Fee Scheme"
2. Advocate "Earn while you Learn" program for students and provision of free lodging, and grant of thesis assistance to all student enrolled in Fisheries, Agriculture and Forestry
3. Enhance staff development by sending faculty to enroll in accredited universities in the country and abroad
4. Establish linkages, consortia, twinning programs, as well as coordination or alliance with NGOs, POs, GOs, and other leading agencies, universities in the country and abroad

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	154.44%	125%
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority and mandated programs	6.83%	6%
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	20.55%	11.05%
Percentage of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	31.85%	35%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of R and D outputs patented / commercialized / used by industry or by other beneficiaries	51.28%	25%
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in		
1. Pursuing advanced research degree programs or	1) 29.5%	1) 25%
2. Publishing (investigative, or basic and scientific research)	2) 51.28%	2) 25%
Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	44.87%	25%
Community engagement increased		
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	40%	40%
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	30%	30%
MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2017 Targets	
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES		
Total number of graduates in mandated & priority programs		5100
Average passing percentage in licensure examinations by the SUC graduates /national average passing rate in board programs across all disciplines covered by SUC		125%
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe		85%

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2017

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	165
Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment or whose employment status improved within one year after graduation	97%
Percentage of students who rated timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	90%

MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

Number of research studies completed in the past 3 years	180
Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized refereed journal or patented/submitted for patenting	52%
Percentage of research projects conducted or completed within the original project timeframe	50%

MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training	2500
Percentage of trainees who rated training courses as good or better	86%
Percentage of persons given training or advisory services who rated timeliness of service delivery as good or better	86%