A. 5. POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge and skills, attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

Pursuing academic excellence through disciplinal integrity and the development and implementations of sound research capability programs; continuous upgrading of capabilities of the faculty and staff; development and implementation of effective student developement programs; promoting academic programs to becoming Centers of Development (COD) and; Centers of Excellence (COE); laying new physical and systemic foundation for research and instruction complementation; developing new programs to attain excellence in licensure examinations and Institutionalizing Civil Society engagement and extension services.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
1. Average percentage passing in licensure exams by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1.8	1.8 (65.26% / 36.20%)
2. Percentage change in graduates tract who employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	1554 (1.03%)	1570 (1.03%)
3. Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	9560	9560
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
1. Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	4900	4900
2. Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	1854	1854
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
1. Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries		

a. Applied for patenting	a. 2	a. 2
b. Patented or commercialized	b. no control over the approval of patent	b. no control over the approval of patent
c. Adopted by industry / small medium enterprises /	c. 8	c. Dependent on patented research output

LGU / Community-based organization

2. Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized refereed journals	29	29
3. Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:		
a. Pursuing advance research degree programs (Ph.D) or	a. 43	a. 35
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b. 170	b. 170
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c. 12	c. 13 (8.33%)

Community engagement increased

1. Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	101	101
2. Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	1572	1572

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2017 Targets
MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	
Total number of graduates	
Total number of graduates	12465
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses	
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses	77% (9598/12465)
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ national average % passing across all disciplines board covered by SUC	
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/ national average % passing across all disciplines board covered by SUC	117%
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 1	
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 1	14.28% (2/14)
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 2	
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 2	94.44% (17/18)
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 3	
Percentage of programs accredited as Level 3	72.41% (21/29)
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	
Percentage of graduates who finished their academic programs according to the prescribed timeframe	82%

MFO 2:   ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates

     Total number of graduates   609

Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation

     Percentage of graduates who engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation   100%

Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better

     Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better   96%

MFO 3:   RESEARCH SERVICES

Number of research studies completed

     Number of research studies completed   196

Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or patented

     Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or
     patented   38.27% (75/196)

Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe

     Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe   95%

MFO 4:   TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training

     Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training   17911

Number of persons provided with technical advice

     Number of persons provided with technical advice   2530

Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better

     Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better   96%

Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better

     Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better   91%

Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of requests

     Percentage of requests for training responded to within 3 days of requests   100%

Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days

     Percentage of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days   100%

Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of services as
     good or better

     Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of services
     as good or better   95%