## E. JUVENILE JUSTICE AND WELFARE COUNCIL

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Prevent children at risk from committing crimes and ensure that children in conflict with the law are rehabilitated and reintegrated with their families and communities

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Coordination of government actions for the implementation of the juvenile intervention programs and activities improved

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

## KEY STRATEGIES

- 1. Policies, plans and program development
- 2. Advocacy and social mobilization
- 3. Research and data management
- 4. Technical assistance to agencies, LGUs and stakeholders
- 5. Coordinating, monitoring and evaluation

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2017 TARGETS
Coordination of government actions for the implementation of the juvenile intervention programs and activities improved		
Resolutions brought to the Council versus the resolutions adopted	5	15 resolutions shall be adopted and implemented
Percentage increase in local government units (LGUs) with local juvenile intervention programs and services	Provinces - 30; Cities-82; Municipalities - 585; Barangays - 5,451	50 % increase in LGUs with juvenile intervention programs integrated in local development plans
MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)		2017 Targets
MFO 1: RESTORATIVE JUSTICE AND WELFARE POLICY SERVICES FOR CHILL WITH THE LAW	DREN AT RISK AND CHILDREN IN CONFLIC	т
Number of policies, plans and programs developed, issued,	33	
Average percentage of national plans and policies rated	75%	
Average percentage of plans and policies developed, issue	ed, disseminated and updated in the	OFW.
last three years  Percentage increase in the number of local government un	its (ICUs) with Comprehensive Local	25%
Juvenile Intervention Programs (CLJIP) adopted through		400
Percentage increase in local government units (LGUs) with	=	TIP
implementation		400
Percentage increase in the number of LGUs provided with	Technical Assistance on the developm	ent
of their 3 year Comprehensive Local Juvenile Interven	ntion Programs in the last two years	20%