

L. 5. NORTHWEST SAMAR UNIVERSITY
(TIBURCIO TANCINCO MEMORIAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND SAMAR STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The Northwest Samar State University shall primarily provide advanced education, higher technological, professional instruction and training in trade, fishery, agriculture, science, education, commerce, engineering, forestry, nautical courses and other related fields. It shall also undertake research and extension services and provide progressive leadership in its area of specialization.

VISION

A provider of relevant and quality education to a society where citizens are competent, skilled, dignified and community oriented.

MISSION

An academic institution providing technological, professional, research and extension programs to form principled men and women of competencies and skills responsive to local and global development needs.

KEY RESULT AREAS

1. Transparent, accountable, and participatory Governance
2. Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable
3. Rapid, inclusive, and sustained economic growth
4. Integrity of the environment and climate change adaptation and mitigation

SECTOR OUTCOME

Poverty alleviation, hastening the pace of innovation, creating new knowledge and functional skills and increasing the productivity of the workplace and the dynamism of community

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
4. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Provide access to more affordable, good quality education for the poor and disadvantage students
2. Preparing students in the tertiary level and technological vocational education for productive employment
3. Providing non-formal education to unskilled workers, farmers and fish folks
4. Pursue aggressive R & D on industrial technology and climate change sensitive technologies, climate proof support facilities and climate responsive food production system

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	94.05% (33.45% / 35.57%)	94.5% (33.6% / 35.57%)
Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	11% (132 / 1,156)	11.6% (135 / 1,156)
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	1,156	1% (1,167)
Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	670	3.1% (690)
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	88	1% (89)
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries	Patent in Process	2
a) Applied for patenting		
b) Patented or Commercialized		
c) Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations		
Number of research and development outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology* published in CHED recognized referred journals	0 Submission to CHED recognized journals in process	2

Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:

a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or	a) 2	a) 50%(3)
b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or	b) -	b) -
c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement	c) -	c) -

Community engagement increased

Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development	5 barangays	20% (6 barangays)
Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement	1,883 (persons)	1.43% (1910 persons)

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2016 Targets

MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates in mandated and priority programs	
Total number of graduates	1,110
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national average percentage passing across all discipline covered by the SUC	
Ave. percentage passing in licensure exams by SUC graduates/national average passing in board examinations covered by SUC	92%
Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe	
% of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe	50%

MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

Total number of graduates	
Total number of graduates	30
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 1 year of graduation	
% of graduates engaged in employment within 1 year of graduation	100%
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	
% of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better	100%

MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years	
Total number of research completed	12
Percentage of research outputs published in a recognized referred journal or submitted for patenting/patented	
% of research outputs published	50%
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe	
% of research projects conducted	75%

MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Number of Persons trained weighted by the length of training	
Total number of persons trained weighted by length of training	1,820
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	
% of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better	87%