### J. REGION VI - WESTERN VISAYAS

### J. 1. AKLAN STATE UNIVERSITY

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

# MANDATE

The Aklan State University primarily provides advanced instruction and professional training in agriculture, science and technology, education, and other related fields; undertakes research and extension services; and provides progressive leadership in these areas.

### VISION

An Academic Pillar of Excellence (APEX) for sustainable development

#### MISSION

Aklan State University is committed to offer degree and non-degree curricula in agriculture, forestry, veterinary medicine, fishery, arts and sciences, engineering and technology, education and management sciences to produce globally competent professionals, leaders, and entrepreneurs through technological breakthroughs in research, efficacy of extension and efficiency in production.

#### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Accreditation and compliance to Commission on Higher Education minimum standards

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE                              | 2016 TARGETS              |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                                       |                           |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by SUC | 1. 29 (49. 19% / 38. 28%)             | 1. 30 (49. 83% / 38. 28%) |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                              | Data gathering started in August 2014 | 2, 112                    |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 138                                   | 6.52% (147)               |

1, 272 71%

> 10% 30% 15% 7.5% 75%

| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased  |                                 |                 |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid   | 3, 448                          | 1. 00% (3, 482) |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees  | 557                             | 1.08% (563)     |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation   |                                 |                 |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries   |                                 |                 |
| a. Adopted by industry / small and medium entrprises / LGU /<br>Community-based Organizations; and / or  | a. 10                           | a. 12           |
| b. Applied in course instruction   | b. 1                            | b. 2            |
| Number of research and development outputs in the fields of<br>agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred<br>journals  | 1                               | 2               |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:   |                                 |                 |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) or  | a. 5                            | a. 20.00% (6)   |
| b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or   | b. 3                            | b. 33.33% (4)   |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement  | c. 5                            | c. 20.00% (6)   |
| Community engagement increased   |                                 |                 |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>and other national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development* | 11                              | 9.09% (12)      |
| Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries* of<br>technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading<br>to livelihood improvement  | 764                             | 9.94% (840)     |
| MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  |                                 | 2016 Targets    |
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES   |                                 |                 |
| Total number of graduates  |                                 | 1               |
| % of total graduates that are in priority courses  |                                 |                 |
| Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/nat  | tional ave % passing across all |                 |
| disciplines covered by the SUC   |                                 |                 |
| % of programs accredited at Level 1  |                                 |                 |

| disciplines covered by the SUC   |  |
|--|--|
| % of programs accredited at Level 1  |  |
| % of programs accredited at Level 2  |  |
| % of programs accredited at Level 3  |  |
| % of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe |  |

# 196 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

### MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES

|        | Total number of graduates<br>% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation<br>% of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better | 20<br>70%<br>75% |
|--------|--|------------------|
| MFO 3: |  | 100              |
|        | % of research projects completed in the last 3 years.  | 55%              |
|        | For Levels 1-2 SUCs: % of research outputs published in local, regional, national or   |                  |
|        | international fora   | 62%              |
|        | % of research projects completed within the original project timeframe   | 55%              |
|        | No. of research studies completed  | 20               |
| MFO 4: | TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |                  |
|        | No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training  | 3000             |
|        | No. of persons provided with technical advice  | 100              |
|        | % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better   | 60%              |
|        | % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  | 80%              |
|        | % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request   | 80%              |
|        | % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days   | 80%              |
|        | % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery   |                  |
|        | as good or better  | 90%              |

### J. 2. CAPIZ STATE UNIVERSITY

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The Capiz State University primarily provide advanced instruction and professional training in agriculture, fishery and forestry, science and technology, arts and humanities, education and other related fields. It shall also undertake research, extension services and production activities, and provide progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

# VISION

An institution of higher learning imbued with ideals committed to quality research, extension and entrepreneurship geared towards the attainment of academic excellence, sustainable development and global competitiveness.

# MISSION

The Capiz State University is committed to advance knowledge and foster innovations, nurture talents, skills and values; engage in high impact research, promote entrepreneurship, industry collaboration and technology utilization, provide responsible technological development towards global competitiveness.

#### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge and skills, attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{3}}.$  Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

# KEY STRATEGIES

- $1. \quad \text{Intensive conduct of instructions, research and extension}$
- 2. Increase linkages and tie-ups both national and international

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE                                   | 2016 TARGETS       |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |  |                    |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC | 56.00% (377 / 678)                         | 60.00% (492 / 820) |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                  | 0 (still on-going, started<br>March, 2014) | 379                |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 1, 116                                     | 13.44% (1,266)     |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |  |                    |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 6, 049                                     | 1.87% (6,162)      |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | 418  | 25.84% (526)       |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  |  |                    |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries  |  |                    |
| a. Applied for patentings   | a. 1                                       | a. 2               |
| b. Patented or Commercialized   | b. 1                                       | b. 1               |
| c. Adopted by Industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations   | c. 5                                       | c. 5               |
| Number of research and development outputs in the fields of<br>agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred<br>journals           | 8  | 10                 |

|                        | age change in number of faculty engaged in research work<br>in any of the following:  |  |                 |  |
|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| a. Pursi               | uing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) or  | a. 7   | a. 57.14% (11)  |  |
| b. Publ:<br>researcl   | ishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific<br>h) or   | b. 5   | b. 40.00% (7)   |  |
| c. Produ<br>improven   | ucing technologies for commercialization or livelihood<br>ment  | c. 5   | c. 40.00% (7)   |  |
| Community e            | ngagement increased   |  |                 |  |
| industry<br>and othe   | age change in number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>y, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>er national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>hnologies relevant to agro-industrial development   | 10   | 50.00% (15)     |  |
| transfe                | age change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology<br>r / extension programs and activities leading to<br>ood improvement   | 1, 260   | 7. 14% (1, 350) |  |
|                        | OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   |  |                 | 2016 Targets   |
| Tota<br>MFO 2:<br>Adva | HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>al number of graduates in mandated and priority programs<br>Total number of graduates in mandated and priority progra<br>% of graduates that are in priority courses<br>% of programs accredited at: Levels 1, 2, 3 & 4<br>% of graduates who finished academic program according to<br>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES<br>anced Education Services<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of graduates engaged in employment or whose employment<br>graduation<br>% of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/s<br>RESEARCH SERVICES | o the prescribed timeframe<br>status improved within 1 year of |                 | 2000<br>100%<br>25%; 45%; 25% & 0%<br>000%<br>60<br>0%<br>0% |
| MFO 4:                 | No. of research studies completed<br>Number of research studies completed in the last 3 years<br>% of research outputs published in a recognized journal o<br>% of research projects completed within the original proj<br>TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |  | ed              | 35<br>85<br>20%<br>0%  |
|                        | No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training<br>No. of persons provided with technical advice<br>% of trainees who rate the training course as good or bet   |  |                 | 14000<br>55<br>95%   |
|                        | <pre>% of clients who rate the advisory services as good or be<br/>% of requests for training responded to within 3 days of<br/>% of requests for technical advice that are responded to<br/>% of persons who receive training or advisory services wh</pre>  | request<br>within 3 days                                       | ery             | 95%<br>95%<br>0%   |

#### J. 3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

### MANDATE

The Carlos C. Hilado Memorial State College shall primarily provide higher technological, professional and vocational instruction and training in science/agricultural and industrial fields as well as short term technical or vocational courses. It shall provide research, advance studies, and progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

#### VISION

CHMSC excels: Excellence, competence, and educational leadership in science and technology

### MISSION

A leading institution in higher and continuing education committed to engage in quality instruction, development-oriented research, sustainable lucrative economic entrerprise, and responsive extension and training services through relevant academic programs to empower a human resource that responds effectively to challenges in life and acts as catalyst in the holistic development of a human society.

# KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement Increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Establish quality assurance through accreditation of academic programs. Produce quality research outputs that would respond to the needs of the community through its extension services.

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE                  | 2016 TARGETS              |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                           |                           |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC | 1. 59 (55. 82% / 34. 89%) | 1. 62 (56. 53% / 34. 89%) |
| Percentage (change in number) of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate program                                    | 4% (58)                   | 5% (93)                   |

| семвек 29, 2015 OF   | FICIAL GAZETTE  |  |
|--|-----------------|--|
|  |                 | STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLE   |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority pre   | ograms 461      | 62.82% (1240)  |
| access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary  |                 |  |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financia<br>who completed their degrees  | al aid 364      | 23.90% (451)   |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority prop<br>awarded financial aid  | grams 1983      | 10.74% (1770)<br>Decrease in projection is<br>due to the implementation<br>of K-12 program |
| igher education research improved to promote economic roductivity and innovation   |                 |  |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used industry or by other beneficiaries  | d by the        |  |
| a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises /<br>Community-based Organizations; and / or   | LGU / a. 4      | a. 6   |
| b. Applied in course instruction   | b. 6            | b. 8   |
| Number of research and development outputs in the field<br>agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized<br>journals   |                 | 2  |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in resear<br>applied in any of the following:   | rch work        |  |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or   | r a. 7          | a. 12.50% (8)  |
| b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scien<br>research) or   | ntific b        | b. –   |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or live<br>improvement   | lihood c        | c. –   |
| ommunity engagement Increased  |                 |  |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrep<br>and other national agency in developing, implementing or<br>new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development | r using         | 9.09% (24)   |
| Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of<br>technology transfer / extension programs and activities<br>to livelihood improvement   | 1407<br>leading | 13.72% (1600)  |
| AJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   |                 | 2016 Targets   |
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Higher Education Services  |                 |  |
| Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are of priority cours   | <b>A</b> 9      | 18 2   |

% of total graduates that are of priority courses

|        | Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave % passing across all        |         |
|--------|--|---------|
|        | discipline covered by the SUC  | 162. 5% |
|        | % of programs accredited at Level 1  | 9%      |
|        | % of programs accredited at Level 2  | 0       |
|        | % of programs accredited at Level 3  | n/a     |
|        | % of programs accredited at Level 4  | n/a     |
|        | % of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe             | 68%     |
|        | Total number of enrolment  | 7800    |
|        |  |         |
| MFO 2: | RESEARCH SERVICES  |         |
| Re     | search Services  |         |
|        | Number of research studies completed   | 45      |
|        | % of research projects completed in the last three years                                       | 0       |
|        | % of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora             | 77%     |
|        | % of research projects completed within the original project timeframe                         | 100%    |
| MFO 3: | TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |         |
| Te     | chnical Advisory Extension Services  |         |
|        | Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training                                   | 1560    |
|        | Number of persons provided with technical advice   | 755     |
|        | % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better                                   | 75%     |
|        | % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better                                  | 75%     |
|        | % of requests for training responded to within three days of request                           | 75%     |
|        | % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within three days                     | 75%     |
|        | % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery | 10%     |
|        | as good or better  | 84%     |
|        | as food of possion   | 040     |
|        |  |         |

### J. 4. CENTRAL PHILIPPINES STATE UNIVERSITY (NEGROS STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE)

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The Negros State College of Agriculture shall primarily provide advanced education, higher technological, professional instruction and training in agriculture/fisheries, animal science, forestry, education, computer studies, engineering, arts and sciences, and other relevant fields of study. It shall also promote and undertake research, extension services and provide progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

# VISION

The Negros State College of Agriculture as the center of excellence in agriculture, forestry and other academic programs which provide opportunities for translating knowledge and skill into sustainable growth and development.

#### MISSION

Provide quality instruction, research, extension and production programs, facilities and equal opportunities in sustainable agriculture, forestry and other academic programs capable of effecting entrepreneurial endeavor and self-propelling growth and development to meet the challenges and demands of local and global economy.

### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

# SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- $2. \ \mbox{Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased}$
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

# KEY STRATEGIES

- 1. Allocate funds and submit different programs for accreditation and conduct review classes on programs with board exams
- 2. Intensify enrolment including students performance
- 3. Design sustainable research and extension programs

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   | BASELINE   | 2016 TARGETS          |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth  |  |                       |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC | 1. 20 (42. 42% / 35. 49%)  | 1. 21 (43% / 35. 49%) |
| Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                      | no tracer conducted in 2013  | 10                    |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs  | 37   | 105. 41% (76)         |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased  |  |                       |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid   | 105  | 33. 33% (140)         |
| Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees  | 10   | 20.00% (12)           |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation   |  |                       |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries   |  |                       |
| a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations  | a. 2   | a. 3                  |
| b. Applied in course instruction   | b. –   | b. –                  |
| Number of R & D outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals                           | No R & D outputs in<br>agro-industrial technology<br>published in CHED recognized<br>referred journals in 2013 | 1                     |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:   |  |                       |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.)  | a. 5   | a. 0.00% (5)          |

| 204   | OFFICIAL GAZETTE                      | Vol. 111, No. 1                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016   |                                       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied research) or   | scientific b. 1                       | b. 100% (2)                           |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or improvement  | livelihood c. 2                       | c. 50% (3)                            |
| Community engagement increased  |                                       |                                       |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with L<br>industry, small and medium enterprises, and local<br>and other national agency in developing, implement<br>new technologies relevant to agro-industrial devel | entrepreneurs<br>ing or using         | 25.00% (5)                            |
| Percentage change in the number of poor beneficiar<br>technology transfer / extension programs and activ<br>to livelihood improvement   |                                       | 25% (25 household)                    |
| MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (P<br>  | Is)                                   | 2016 Targets                          |
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates   |                                       |                                       |
| Total number of graduates   |                                       | 1154                                  |
| % of total graduates that are in priority cour  |                                       | E0 E0                                 |
| % of total graduates that are in priority<br>Average passing % of licensure exams by the SU   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 58.58                                 |
| disciplines covered by the SUC  |                                       |                                       |
| Average passing % of licensure exams by th  | e SUC graduates / national average %  | 6 passing across                      |
| all disciplines covered by the SUC  |                                       | 120                                   |
| % of programs accredited at Level 1   |                                       | 40.07                                 |
| % of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2  |                                       | 46.67                                 |
| % of programs accredited at Level 2   |                                       | 0                                     |
| % of programs accredited at Level 3   |                                       |                                       |
| % of programs accredited at Level 3   |                                       | 26. 67                                |
| % of programs accredited at Level 4   |                                       |                                       |
| % of programs accredited at Level 4   |                                       | 0                                     |
| % of graduates who finished academic program a  |                                       |                                       |
| % of graduates who finished academic progr  | am according to the prescribed times  | frame 95.32                           |
| MFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES  |                                       |                                       |
| Number of research studies completed  |                                       |                                       |
| Number of research studies completed  |                                       | 30                                    |
| % of research projects completed in the last 3  | years                                 |                                       |
| % of research projects completed in the la  |                                       | 97                                    |
| % of research outputs presented in local, regi<br>% of research outputs presented in local,   |                                       |                                       |
| % of research projects completed within the or  | •                                     | 1014 00.01                            |
| % of research project completed within the  |                                       | 96. 67                                |
| MFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |                                       |                                       |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the leng  |                                       |                                       |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the   |                                       | 1600                                  |
| Number of persons provided with technical advi  |                                       | 000                                   |
| Number of persons provided with technical<br>% of trainees who rate the training course as  |                                       | 220                                   |
| % of trainees who rate the training course as   |                                       | 87.7                                  |
| % of clients who rate the advisory services as  | -                                     |                                       |
| % of clients who rate the advisory service  | s as good or better                   | 90. 4                                 |

%

%

| of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request  |      |
|---|------|
| % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request  | 90   |
| o of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days  |      |
| % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days  | 91.8 |
| o of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as<br>good or better |      |
| % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery<br>as good or better | 90.6 |

### J. 5. GUIMARAS STATE COLLEGE

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The College shall offer undergraduate and graduate courses in technology education, agriculture, fishery, engineering, arts and sciences, forestry, business, health, computer, criminology, nautical and short-term vocational-technical and other continuing courses that may be found to be neeeded and relevant. It shall also promote research, advanced studies, extension work and progressive leadership in each area of special of specialization. It shall also provide primary consideration through the integration of research/ studies for the development of the Province of Guimaras. The College shall offer undergraduate and graduate courses as well as short technical courses within its areas of specialization and according to its capabilities, as the Board of Trustees may deem necessary to carry out its objectives, particularly in order to meet the needs of the province and the region.

#### VISION

The Guimaras State College as Center of Excellence in Education and Green Technology Generation.

#### MISSION

Guimaras State College is committed to provide access to relevant and quality education and advocate sustainable development.

#### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Continuous curriculum enhancement, upgrading of facilities, provision of scholarship to students, funding administrative support for the functional areas on instruction, research and extension.

GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016 ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) BASELINE 2016 TARGETS Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth 1.45 (56 / 38.62) 1.53 (58 / 38) Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates over national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC 330 5% (348) Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased Percentage change in number of students in priority programs 46.68% (1210 / 2592) 48.23% (1250 / 2592) awarded financial aid 53.91% (200 / 371) 67.38% (250 / 371) Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / ued by the industry or by other beneficiaries a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / a. 3 a. 2 Community-based Organizations b. Applied in course instructions b. 4 b. 6 Number of research and development outputs in the fields of 1 1 agro-industrial technology\* published in CHED recognized referred journals Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or a. – a. – b. 87.05% (15) b. 33.3% (20) b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood c. 125.00% (9) c. 33.3% (12) improvement Community engagement increased Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, 28.57% (2 / 7x100) 57.14% (4 / 7x100) industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development\* Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries\* of 30.28% (912-700 / 700x100) 31.58% (1200-912 / 912x100) technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

206

Vol. 111, No. 1

# **OFFICIAL GAZETTE**

207 STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

| R FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   | 2016 Targets  |
|---|---------------|
| JFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES  |               |
| % OF PROGRAMS ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 1   |               |
| % of programs accredited at Level 1   | 27.3% (3/1    |
| % OF PROGRAMS ACCREDITED AT LEVEL 2   |               |
| % of programs accredited at level2  | 36.4%(4/      |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF GRADUATES   |               |
| Total number of Graduates   | !             |
| % OF TOTAL GRADUATES THAT ARE IN PRIORITY COURSES   |               |
| % of graduates who finished academic program according to prescribed timeframe                                      | 95% (478/5    |
| AFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES  |               |
| % OF RESEARCH PROJECTS COMPLETED IN THE LAST THREE YEARS  |               |
| % of research projects completed in the last three years  | 100% (        |
| % OF RESEARCH OUTPUTS PRESENTED IN LOCAL, REGIONAL, NATIONAL OR INTERNATIONAL FORA                                  |               |
| % of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora                                  | 90% (         |
| % RESEARCH PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE ORIGINAL PROJECT TIMEFRAME   |               |
| % of research projects completed within original time frame   | 85% (         |
| IFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |               |
| NUMBER OF PERSONS TRAINED WEIGHTED BY LENGTH OF TRAINING  |               |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training  | 2             |
| NUMBER OF PERSONS PROVIDED WITH TECHNICAL ADVICE  | _             |
| Number of persons provided with technical advice  | 1             |
| % OF TRAINEES WHO RATE THE TRAINING COURSE AS GOOD OR BETTER  |               |
| % of trainess who rate the training course as good or better  | 100% (1000/10 |
| % OF CLIENTS WHO RATE THE ADVISORY SERVICES AS GOOD OR BETTER   |               |
| % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better   | 100% (1000/10 |
| % OF REQUESTS FOR TRAINING RESPONDED TO WITHIN THREE DAYS OF REQUEST  |               |
| % request for technical responded to within three days of request   | 100% (35/     |
| % OF REQUESTS FOR TECHNICAL ADVICE THAT ARE RESPONDED TO WITHIN THREE DAYS  |               |
| % of request for technical advice that are responded to within three days   | 100% (35/     |
| % OF PERSONS WHO RECEIVE TRAINING OR ADVISORY SERVICES WHO RATE TIMELINESS OF SERVICE DELIVERY AS<br>GOOD OR BETTER |               |
| % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery                      |               |
|   | 100% (1000/10 |

# J.6. ILOILO SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY (WESTERN VISAYAS COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY)

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

### MANDATE

The Western Visayas College of Science and Technology is committed to the development of man through the integration of spiritual, vocational, scientific and technological education of leadership in national development. It primarily provides a secondary and higher vocatoional, professional, scientific and technical education and promotes research, advanced studies and progressive leadership in the fields of trade, industrial, scientific and technological education.

### VISION

The Western Visayas College of Science and Technology as the center of excellence in science and technology

# 208 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

#### MISSION

The Western Visayas College of Science and Technology is committed to the development of man through the integration of spiritual, liberal, vocational, scientific and tecnological education for leadership in national development.

# KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

# SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Allocate funds and implement programs as planned

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE               | 2016 TARGETS              |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                        |                           |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC | 1.58 (71.91% / 45.39%) | 1. 60 (72. 62% / 45. 39%) |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                     | 1, 532                 | 20. 10% (1, 840)          |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 1, 429                 | 9. 73% (1, 568)           |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |                        |                           |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 840                    | 42. 86% (1, 200)          |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | 149                    | 54. 36% (230)             |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  |                        |                           |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries  |                        |                           |
| a. Applied for patenting  | a. 6                   | a. 8                      |

DECEMBER 29, 2015 **OFFICIAL GAZETTE** 209 STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES b. Patented or Commercialized b. 41 b. 43 c. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / c. 11 c. 12 Community-based Organizations Number of research and development outputs in the fields of 4 6 agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following: a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or a. 30.77% (34) a. 26 b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific b. 3.33% (8) b. 6 research) or c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood c. 35 c. 2.86% (36) improvement Community engagement increased Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, 122.22% (20) 9 industry, small and medium enterprises and local entrepreneurs and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of 946 6.45% (1007) technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading to livelihood improvement MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) 2016 Targets MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES Total number of graduates 1, 205 % of total graduates that are in priority courses 85% Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave % passing across all 65% disciplines covered by the SUC % of programs accredited at Level 1 31% % of programs accredited at Level 2 13% % of programs accredited at Level 3 16% 78% % of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES 10 Total number of graduates 80% % of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation % of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better 60% MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES No. of research studies completed 18 % of research projects completed in the last 3 years. 50% For level 3-4 SUCs: % of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or patented 60% % of research projects completed within the original project timeframe 50%

#### MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

| No. of person trained weighted by the length of training                                       | 1,605 |
|--|-------|
| No. of persons provided with technical advice  | 20    |
| % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better                                   | 80%   |
| % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better                                  | 80%   |
| % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request                               | 80%   |
| % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days                         | 80%   |
| % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery |       |
| as good or better  | 80%   |

# J.7. ILOILO STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (ILOILO STATE COLLEGE OF FISHERIES)

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The Iloilo State College of Fisheries provides professional, vocational, technological and advanced studies in fisheries, agriculture, maritime transportation, education, entrepreneurship, and science and technology; and promotes research, extension and production in these areas.

#### VISION

Center of excellence in fisheries, agriculture, maritime transportation, education, entrepreneurship, and science and technology

#### MISSION

To produce graduates who will become leaders in teaching, research, extension and production in the basic and advanced fisheries, agriculture, maritime transportation, education, entrepreneurship, and science and technology in Western Visayas. These graduates will also be globally competitive, dynamic and vibrant and will have developed wholesome and socially acceptable values, attitudes and skills, and high standard of professionalism.

#### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

# SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- $\mathbf{2}.$  Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

- 1. Faculty competency enhancement program for quality instruction
- 2. Academic and cultural with livelihood and environmental awareness for total community involvement
- 3. Increase percentage of accredited programs in mandated fields
- 4. Sustain research projects conducted and completed on schedule time
- 5. Increase and maintain number of technologies / information adopted or utilized by the beneficiaries

| CCEMBER 29, 2015 OFFICIAL   | GAZETTE              | STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLE |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|
| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE             | 2016 TARGETS                 |
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                      |                              |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC   | 0.97 (39.3% / 40.6%) | 0.98 (39.8% / 40.6%)         |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs  | 18% (65)             | 20% (78)                     |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 4. 11% (532)         | 17% (623)                    |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |                      |                              |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 6.5% (559)           | 7% (598)                     |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | -24% (97)            | 5% (102)                     |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic<br>productivity and innovation<br>Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the  |                      |                              |
| industry or by other beneficiaries  |                      |                              |
| a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU /<br>Community-based Organizations; and / or  | a. 1                 | a. 3                         |
| b. Applied in course instruction  | b. 1                 | b. 2                         |
| Number of research and development outputs in the fields of<br>agro-industrial technology* published in CHED recognized<br>referred journal   | 24                   | 36                           |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following :   |                      |                              |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or<br>b. Publishinng (Investigative, or basic and applied scientific<br>research) or  | a. 47<br>b. 4        | a. 4.26% (49)<br>b. 75% (7)  |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement   | c. 1                 | c. 100% (2)                  |
| Community engagement increased  |                      |                              |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>and other national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development | 25                   | 20% (30)                     |
| Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading  | 3, 140               | 20% (3, 768)                 |

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

| R FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)   | 2016 Targets |
|---|--------------|
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES  |              |
| Total number of graduates   |              |
| Total number of graduates   | 1, 1         |
| Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  | -,-          |
| Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  | ł            |
| Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC Graduates/National average % passing   |              |
| across all disciplines covered by the SUC   |              |
| Average Passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC Graduates/National average % passing   |              |
| across all disciplines covered by the SUC   |              |
| Percentage of program accredited at level 1   |              |
| Percentage of program accredited of Level 1   | 2            |
| Percentage of program accredited at Level 2   |              |
| Percentage of program accredited at Level 2   | 10           |
| Percentage of program accredited at Level 3   |              |
| Percentage of program accredited at Level 3   | 10           |
| Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe   |              |
| Percentage of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe   | 9            |
| IFO 2: RESEARCH SERVICES  |              |
| Number of ressearch studies completed   |              |
| Number of research studies completed  |              |
| Percentage of research studies completed in the last 3 years  |              |
| Percentage of research studies completed in the last 3 years  |              |
| Percentage of outputs presented in local/regional/national/international fora   |              |
| Percentage of outputs presented in local/regional/national/international fora   | 1            |
| Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe   |              |
| Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe   | 9            |
| IFO 3: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |              |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training  |              |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training  | 4, 4         |
| Number of persons provided with technical advise  |              |
| Number of persons provided with technical advise  | 7, 0         |
| Percentage of trainees who rate the training course good or better  |              |
| Percentage of trainees who rate the training course good or better  | 9            |
| Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  |              |
| Percentage of clients who rate the advisory service as good or better   | ę            |
| Percentage of request from training responded to within 3 days of requests  |              |
| Percentage of request from training responded to within 3 days of request   | 10           |
| Percentage of request for technical advise that are responded to within 3 day<br>Percentage of request for technical advise that are responded to within 3 days | 10           |
| Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service  |              |
| delivery as good or better  |              |
| Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service  |              |
| delivery as good or better  | ç            |

J. 8. NORTHERN ILOILO STATE UNIVERSITY (NORTHERN ILOILO POLYTECHNIC STATE COLLEGE)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

# MANDATE

The Northern Iloilo Polytechnic State College primarily provides higher vocational, professional and technical instruction and training in the fields of industry, agriculture, fishery, engineering and sciences, as well as short term vocational, technical and continuing courses. It promotes research and extension, advanced studies and progressive leadership in the fields of education, agriculture, fishery, industrial technology, industrial education, arts, engineering and sciences.

212 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

#### VISION

A leading polytechnic institution offering capability and industry-oriented programs; deriving its strengths from the tradition of polytechnics, particularly the business-professional partnerships in developing a competent person responsive to his needs and to the community

#### MISSION

Institution established pursuant to B.P. Blg. 500, aims to provide education and training for human resources development to accelerate and sustain the socio-economic transformation of its service area by offering quality, relevant, accessible and effective polytechnic programs. More specifically, Northern Iloilo Polytechnic State College is committed to:

- 1. Provide education that will promote personal development, social responsibility, technological proficiency,
- and professional integrity;
- 2. Provide responsible professionals/leaders, competent technologists, highly skilled technicians and workers,
- and dynamic entrepreneurs for rural development;
- 3. Undertake research and extension to further knowledge and technology transfer;
- 4. Enhance its critical role in community development
- 5. Use planning to effectively respond to the changing environment, and to achieve balanced growth.

#### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Intensive conduct of instruction, research and extension services

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   | BASELINE              | 2016 TARGETS           |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth  |                       |                        |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC | 1.09 (43.65 / 40.00%) | 1.10 (44.00% / 40.00%) |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                         | 85                    | 76. 47% (150)          |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs  | 1, 013                | 38. 20% (1, 400)       |

| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |   |                         |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 4, 620  | 19.05% (5,500)          |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | 650   | 12.31% (730)            |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  |   |                         |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries  |   |                         |
| a. Adopted by Industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations   | a. 1  | a. 2                    |
| b. Applied in course instruction  | b. –  | b. –                    |
| Number of R & D outputs in the fields of agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized referred journals  | journals submitted to ISI in<br>July, 2014            | 1                       |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:  |   |                         |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) or   | a. 3  | a. 67.00% (5)           |
| b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific research) or  | b. journals submitted to ISI in<br>July 2014          | b. 1                    |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement   | c. technology transfer hampered<br>by Typhoon Yolanda | c. 1                    |
| Community engagement increased  |   |                         |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>and other national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development | 12 barangays  | 10.00% (13 barangays)   |
| Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of<br>technology transfer / extension programs and activities leading<br>to livelihood improvement  | 21 barangays  | 10.00% (23 barangays)   |
| MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   |   | 2016 Targets            |
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates % of graduates that are in priori<br>at: Level 1; Level 2; Level 3 % of graduates who finish  |   |                         |
| prescribed time frame<br>Total number of graduates  |   | 1 00                    |
| Total number of graduates<br>% of graduates that are in priority courses  |   | 1, 90<br>55             |
| Ave. passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/n  | ational ave. % passing accross all                    |                         |
| disciplines covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2 and Level 3   | 3   | 569<br>29%; 14% and 149 |
| % of graduates who finished academic program according to   |   | 73                      |

# 214 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016

| MFO 2: ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES   |        |
|--|--------|
| Total number of graduates % of graduates engaged in empowerment within six months of graduation %    |        |
| of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better                  |        |
| Total number of graduates  | 28     |
| % of graduates engaged in empowerment within 6 months of graduation                                  | 97%    |
| % of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better                | 73%    |
| MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES   |        |
| Number of research studies completed Number of research projects completed in the last 3 years       |        |
| Number of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora % of         |        |
| research projects completed within the original project time frame                                   |        |
| No. of research studies completed  | 7      |
| % of research projects completed in the last 3 years   | 94%    |
| % of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora                   | 94%    |
| % of research projects completed within the original project timeframe                               | 83%    |
| MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES   |        |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training Number of persons provided with         |        |
| technical advice % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better % of clients who       |        |
| rate the advisory services as good or better % of requests for training responded to within 3 days   |        |
| of request % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days % of persons       |        |
| who received training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better |        |
| Number of persons trained weighted by the length of training   | 4, 322 |
| Number of persons provided with technical advice   | 500    |
| % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better   | 86%    |
| % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  | 80%    |
| % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request                                     | 89%    |
| % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days                               | 83%    |
| % of of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service             |        |
| delivery as good or better   | 83%    |

#### J. 9. NORTHERN NEGROS STATE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The Northern Negros State College of Science and Technology shall provide higher technological, professional, vocational instruction and training in science, forestry, fishery, agriculture, education, marine biology, engineering and industrial fields; it shall promote research, advance studies, extension work and progressive leadership.

# VISION

The Northern Negros State College of Science and Technology envisions a skilled and productive manpower, qualified and competent professionals endowed with leadership qualities and committed to public service; possess a common shared values, and capabilities to integrate and use new knowledge and skills in various vocations and professions to meet the challenges and opportunities to this millennium.

# MISSION

To train and develop semi-skilled manpower, middle level professionals and competent and qualified leaders in the various professions, responsive to the needs and requirements of the service areas, by providing appropriate and relevant curricular programs and opportunities, research and entrepreneurship, extension and progressive leadership, to effect socio-economic benefits and thereby improve quality of life.

# KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhance knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipino to lead productive lives

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

### KEY STRATEGIES

- 1. Established admission and retension policy for courses with board exams
- 2. Faculty are given monetary incentives and are deloaded to encourage them to conduct more researches
- 3. The State College allocated needed funds to finance its extension services to the community

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE                  | 2016 TARGETS              |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                           |                           |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC. | 1. 63 (63. 48% / 38. 89%) | 1. 65 (64. 11% / 38. 39%) |
| Percentage change in graduates tracked who are employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                       | 50                        | 20% (60)                  |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 9.95% (420)               | 10% (462)                 |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased   |                           |                           |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 10.06% (722)              | 10% (794)                 |
| Percentage change of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | 10.19% (119)              | 10% (131)                 |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  |                           |                           |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other benficiaries   |                           |                           |
| a. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprise / LGU / Community-based Organization; and / or   | a. –                      | a. –                      |

| MBER 2                       | 9, 2015 OFFICIAL   | GAZETTE  | STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COL |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| b. Appl                      | ied in course instruction  | b. 2   | b. 3                       |
|                              | of research and development outputs in the fields of<br>dustrial technology published in CHED recognized referred<br>s   | 2  | 3                          |
|                              | age change in the number of faculty engaged in research plied in any of the following:   |  |                            |
| a. Purs                      | uing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) or   | a. –   | a. –                       |
| b. Publ<br>researc           | ishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific<br>h) or  | b. –   | b. –                       |
| c. Prod<br>improve           | ucing technologies for commercialization or livelihood<br>ment   | c. 11.76% (19)   | c. 5.26% (20)              |
| nunity e                     | ngagement increased  |  |                            |
| industr<br>and oth           | age change in the number of partnerships with LGUs,<br>y, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>er national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>hnologies relevant to agro-industrial development  | 12.50% (18)  | 22% (22)                   |
| technol                      | age change in the number of poor beneficiaries of<br>ogy transfer / extension programs and activities leading<br>lihood improvement  | 38. 34% (350)  | 42% (500)                  |
| to IIve                      | <b>_</b>   |  |                            |
|                              | OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIS)  |  | 2016 Targets               |
| OR FINAL                     |  |  | 2016 Targets               |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  |  | 2016 Targets               |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses   |  |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na   | tional ave % passing acr   |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC  | tional ave % passing acr   |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1   | tional ave % passing acr   |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2  | tional ave % passing acr   |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2<br>% of programs accredited at Level 3   | tional ave % passing acr   |                            |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2  |  | oss all                    |
| OR FINAL                     | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to the second sec</li></ul> |  | oss all                    |
| OR FINAL                     | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to the second sec</li></ul> | o the prescribed timefra   | oss all                    |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2<br>% of programs accredited at Level 3<br>% of programs accredited at Level 4<br>% of graduates who finished academic program according t<br>RESEARCH SERVICES<br>Number of research studies completed in the last three y<br>% of research projects completed in the last three years   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears   | oss all<br>me              |
| OR FINAL                     | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2<br>% of programs accredited at Level 3<br>% of programs accredited at Level 4<br>% of graduates who finished academic program according t<br>RESEARCH SERVICES<br>Number of research studies completed in the last three y   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo   | oss all<br>me              |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)<br>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES<br>Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC<br>% of programs accredited at Level 1<br>% of programs accredited at Level 2<br>% of programs accredited at Level 3<br>% of programs accredited at Level 4<br>% of graduates who finished academic program according t<br>RESEARCH SERVICES<br>Number of research studies completed in the last three years<br>% of research outputs presented in local, regional, nati   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo   | oss all<br>me              |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to<br/>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last three years</li> <li>% of research outputs presented in local, regional, nati</li> <li>% of research projects completed within the original pro</li> </ul>  | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe   | oss all<br>me              |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to<br/>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last three years</li> <li>% of research projects completed in the last three years</li> <li>% of research projects completed within the original pro</li> <li>TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li> </ul>   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe   | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to<br/>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last three years</li> <li>% of research outputs presented in local, regional, nati</li> <li>% of research projects completed within the original pro</li> <li>TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li> <li>Number of persons trained weighted by the length of train</li> </ul>  | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe<br>ning   | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according to<br/>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last three y<br/>% of research projects completed in the last three y<br/>% of research projects completed within the original pro</li> <li>TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li> <li>Number of persons trained weighted by the length of train<br/>Number of persons provided with technical advice</li> </ul>   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe<br>ning<br>tter   | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)         HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         Total number of graduates         % of total graduates that are in priority courses         Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC         % of programs accredited at Level 1         % of programs accredited at Level 2         % of programs accredited at Level 3         % of programs accredited at Level 4         % of graduates who finished academic program according t         RESEARCH SERVICES         Number of research studies completed in the last three years         % of research projects completed in the last three years         % of research projects completed within the original pro         TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         Number of persons trained weighted by the length of train         Number of persons provided with technical advice         % of trainees who rate the training course as good or be         % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or be         % of requests for training responded to within three day   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe<br>ning<br>tter<br>etter<br>s of request                      | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | <ul> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>% of total graduates that are in priority courses</li> <li>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br/>discipline covered by the SUC</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 1</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 2</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 3</li> <li>% of programs accredited at Level 4</li> <li>% of graduates who finished academic program according t</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last three y<br/>% of research projects completed in the last three y<br/>% of research projects completed within the original pro</li> <li>TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES</li> <li>Number of persons trained weighted by the length of train<br/>Number of persons provided with technical advice</li> <li>% of trainees who rate the training course as good or be<br/>% of clients who rate the advisory services as good or be<br/>% of requests for training responded to within three day<br/>% of requests for technical advice that are responded to</li> </ul>   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe<br>ning<br>tter<br>etter<br>s of request<br>within three days | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |
| DR FINAL<br>MFO 1:<br>MFO 2: | OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)         HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES         Total number of graduates         % of total graduates that are in priority courses         Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na<br>discipline covered by the SUC         % of programs accredited at Level 1         % of programs accredited at Level 2         % of programs accredited at Level 3         % of programs accredited at Level 4         % of graduates who finished academic program according t         RESEARCH SERVICES         Number of research studies completed in the last three years         % of research projects completed in the last three years         % of research projects completed within the original pro         TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES         Number of persons trained weighted by the length of train         Number of persons provided with technical advice         % of trainees who rate the training course as good or be         % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or be         % of requests for training responded to within three day   | o the prescribed timefra<br>ears<br>onal or international fo<br>ject timeframe<br>ning<br>tter<br>etter<br>s of request<br>within three days | oss all<br>me<br>ra        |

#### J. 10. UNIVERSITY OF ANTIQUE

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The University of Antique shall primarily provide advanced education, higher technological, professional instruction and training in the fields of education, agriculture, forestry, fishery, maritime education, ecology, engineering, philosophy, information and communications technology, letters, arts and sciences, nursing, medicine and other relevant fields of study. It shall also undertake research and extension services in support of the socioeconomic development of Antique, and provide progressive leadership in its areas of specialization.

#### VISION

Leading university in science and technology by 2022

#### MISSION

The University of Antique shall provide quality, relevant and responsive scientific, technological, and professional education and advanced training in different areas of specialization, and shall undertake research and extension services in support of the socio-economic development of Antique, the Filipino nation, and the global community.

### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- 2. Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- 3. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased

#### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

#### KEY STRATEGIES

Intensify review classes on programs with board examinations, conduct bi-annual in-house research capability building workshops, and design a sustainable and comprehensive extension program

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE                 | 2016 TARGETS              |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth   |                          |                           |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC | 1.25 (47.30% / 37.80%)   | 1. 32 (50. 00% / 37. 80%) |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                  | Tracking started in 2014 | 190                       |

| DECEMBER 29, 2015  | OFFICIAL GAZETTE                 | 21                             |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ,  |                                  | STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGE |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority   | programs 672                     | 4.46% (702)                    |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased  |                                  |                                |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority p<br>awarded financial aid   | rograms 993                      | 3. 02% (1, 023)                |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded finan<br>who completed their degrees   | cial aid 964                     | 1.04% (974)                    |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation   |                                  |                                |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / u<br>industry or by other beneficiaries  | sed by the                       |                                |
| a. Applied for patenting   | a. –                             | a. –                           |
| b. Patented or Commercialized  | b. –                             | b. –                           |
| c. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises<br>Community-based Organizations   | /LGU / c. 1                      | c. 2                           |
| Number of research and development outputs in the fie<br>agro-industrial technology published in CHED recogni<br>referred journals   |                                  | 2                              |
| Percentage change in number of faculty engaged in res<br>applied in any of the following:  | earch work                       |                                |
| a. Pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D)   | or a. 7                          | a. 14.29% (8)                  |
| b. Publishing (investigative, or basic and applied so<br>research) or  | ientific b. 1                    | b. 100.00% (2)                 |
| c. Producing technologies for commercialization or li improvement  | velihood c. 1                    | c. 100.00% (2)                 |
| Community engagement increased   |                                  |                                |
| Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGU,<br>small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs<br>national agency in developing, implementing or using<br>technologies relevant to agro-industrial development | and other                        | 20.00% (6)                     |
| Percentage change in number of poor beneficiaries of<br>technology transfer / extension programs and activiti<br>to livelihood improvement   |                                  | 42. 86% (200)                  |
| MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  |                                  | 2016 Targets                   |
| MFO 1: HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES   |                                  |                                |
| Total number of graduates  |                                  | 1, 490                         |
| % of total graduates that are in priority cou  |                                  | 15%                            |
| Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUCs<br>disciples covered by the SUC   | graduates/national ave % passing | across all 55%                 |
| % of programs accredited at: Level 1   |                                  | 57%                            |

| 220       | OFFICIAL GAZETTE   | Vol. 111, No. |
|-----------|--|---------------|
| GENERAL A | APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016  |               |
|           | % of programs accredited at: Level 2   | 10%           |
|           | % of programs accredited at: Level 3   | 11%           |
|           | % of graduates who finished academic program according to the prescribed timeframe             | 74%           |
| MFO 2:    | ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES  |               |
|           | Total number of graduates  | 30            |
|           | % of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of graduation                             | 60%           |
|           | % of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision or better                  | 60%           |
| MFO 3:    | RESEARCH SERVICES  |               |
|           | No. of research studies completed  | 10            |
|           | % of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or patented | 50%           |
|           | % of research projects completed within the original project timeframe                         | 92%           |
|           | % of research projects completed in the last 3 years   | 15%           |
| MFO 4:    | TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES  |               |
|           | No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training                                      | 300           |
|           | No. of persons provided with technical advice  | 200           |
|           | % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better                                   | 60%           |
|           | % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better                                  | 70%           |
|           | % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request                               | 50%           |
|           | % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days                         | 50%           |
|           | % of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery |               |
|           | as good or better  | 60%           |
|           |  |               |

# J. 11. WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

# STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

# MANDATE

The West Visayas State University is committed to provide responsive tertiary and advanced education relevant to the needs of society in support of lifelong learning, engage in innovative high impact and leading-edge research, and disseminate the results through scholarly and creative activities.

# VISION

The West Visayas State University as one of the top universities in Southeast Asia

# MISSION

To produce globally competitive life-long learners

# KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

# SECTOR OUTCOME

Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes and values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

# ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth
- $\mathbf{2.}$  Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary education increased
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{3}}.$  Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 4. Community engagement increased
- 5. Quality medical education and hospital services ensured

### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

# KEY STRATEGIES

Improve quality and access to social and health services, enhance technological innovation and modernization to support agri-industrial and tourism development thrusts

| ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)  | BASELINE               | 2016 TARGETS           |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve<br>inclusive growth  |                        |                        |  |
| Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC<br>graduates over national average percentage passing in board<br>programs covered by the SUC | 1.67 (60.90% / 36.40%) | 1.69 (61.50% / 36.40%) |  |
| Percentage change in number of graduates tracked who are<br>employeed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs                                 | 1, 129                 | 1.06% (1,141)          |  |
| Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs   | 2, 604                 | 1. 00% (2, 630)        |  |
| Access of deserving but poor students to quality tertiary<br>education increased  |                        |                        |  |
| Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid  | 1, 085                 | 1. 38% (1, 100)        |  |
| Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees   | 554                    | 1.08% (560)            |  |
| Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  |                        |                        |  |
| Number of R & D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries  |                        |                        |  |
| a. Applied for patenting  | a. 2                   | a. 3                   |  |
| b. Patented or Commercialized   | b. 1                   | b. 2                   |  |
| c. Adopted by industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations   | c. 12                  | c. 13                  |  |
| Number of research and development outputs in the fields of<br>agro-industrial technology published in CHED recognized<br>referred journals           | 1                      | 2                      |  |

90%

|                    | tage change in number of faculty engaged in research work<br>d in any of the following:   |   |                                  |                |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------|
| a. Purs            | suing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.) or  | a. 45   | a. 2.22% (46)                    |                |
| b. Publ<br>researc | lishing (investigative, or basic and applied scientific<br>ch) or   | b. 171  | b. 5.26% (180)                   |                |
| c. Prod<br>improve | ducing technologies for commercialization or livelihood<br>ement  | c. 40   | c. 5.00% (42)                    |                |
| Community e        | engagement increased  |   |                                  |                |
| small a<br>nationa | tage change in number of partnerships with LGU, industry,<br>and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneurs and other<br>al government agency in developing, implementing or using<br>chnologies relevant to agro-industrial development | 41  | 4.88% (43)                       |                |
| technol            | tage change in number of poor beneficiaries of<br>logy transfer / extension programs and activities leading<br>elihood improvement  | 5   | 40.00% (7)                       |                |
| Quality med        | lical education and hospital services ensured   |   |                                  |                |
| -                  | e passing percentage in medical and other health-related<br>ure exams graduates increased   | 99.06% (210 out of 212)   | 99.06% (210 out of 212)          |                |
|                    | of health research information and development outputs<br>ed / commercialized, used or adopted by the health sector   | For 2013, "zero baseline" since<br>some health research outputs<br>undergo certain processess in<br>order to be adopted by the health<br>sector | 1                                |                |
| Percent            | tage change in net death rate among in-patients   | 2.98%<br>(392 / 13172)  | 0. 027 (2. 77%)<br>(365 / 13172) |                |
| MAJOR FINAL        | L OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)   |   | 2016 Targets                     |                |
| MFO 1:             | HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES   |   |                                  |                |
|                    | Total number of graduates<br>% of total graduates that are in priority courses<br>Ave passing % of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/na:   | tional ave % passing across all   | 49                               | 2620<br>9. 50% |
|                    | disciplines covered by the SUC  |   |                                  | 140%           |
|                    | % of programs accredited at: Level 1  |   |                                  | 9. 61%         |
|                    | % of programs accredited at: Level 2  |   |                                  | 9. 02%         |
|                    | % of programs accredited at: Level 3  |   |                                  | 5.88%          |
|                    | % of programs accredited at: Level 4<br>% of graduates who finished academic program according to   | o the prescribed timeframe  | 19                               | 9.61%<br>94%   |
| MFO 2:             | ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES   |   |                                  |                |
|                    | Total number of graduates   |   |                                  | 150            |
|                    | Total number of graduates<br>% of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of a  | graduation  |                                  | 150<br>85%     |
|                    | % of graduates engaged in employment within 6 months of a   | Bradaution  |                                  | 0070           |

% of students who rate timeliness of education delivery/supervision as good or better

222 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016 MFO

85%

# MFO 3: RESEARCH SERVICES

|      | No. of research studies completed<br>% of research projects completed in the last 3 years<br>% of research outputs published in a recognized journal or submitted for patenting or patented<br>% of research projects completed within the original project timeframe | 70<br>80%<br>40%<br>64% |
|------|---|-------------------------|
| ) 4: | TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES   |                         |
|      | No. of persons trained weighted by the length of training   | 4000                    |
|      | No. of persons provided with technical advice   | 1138                    |
|      | % of trainees who rate the training course as good or better  | 87%                     |
|      | % of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better   | 85%                     |
|      | % of requests for training responded to within 3 days of request  | 90%                     |
|      | % of requests for technical advice that are responded to within 3 days  | 85%                     |

# MFO 5: HOSPITAL SERVICES

| No. of in-patients managed   | 10850                 |
|--|-----------------------|
| No. of out-patients managed  | 57150                 |
| No. of elective surgeries  | 2250                  |
| No. of emergency surgeries   | 1575                  |
| No. of in-patients bed   | 300                   |
| Net death rate among in-patients   | 3. 2%                 |
| % of clients that rate the hospital services as satisfactory or better                       | 90%                   |
| % of patients with hospital acquired infection   | 2.5%                  |
| % of relapse cases for mental and drug rehabiliation clients within 3 months after discharge | 7. 50%                |
| % of out-patients medically attended to within 2 hours after registration                    | 82. 50%               |
| No. of weeks waiting period for elective surgery   | 2 weeks (per patient) |
| Occupancy rate of in-patient beds  | 90%                   |