#### E. 10. RAMON MAGSAYSAY TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

#### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### MANDATE

The Ramon Magsaysay Technological University, created under Republic Act 8498 dated February 12, 1998 shall offer undergraduate, graduate and short-term technical courses within its area of specialization as the Board of Regents may deem necessary and undertake research, extension and production to carry out its development objectives, particularly in meeting the needs of the Province of Zambales.

#### VISION

The Ramon Magsaysay Technological University shall be the learning and resource center for the development of leaders and entrepreneurs responsive to appropriate and emerging advance technologies for sustainable utilization of natural indigenous, and human resources for community-centered development within a dynamic and ever widening society.

#### MISSION

The Ramon Magsaysay Technological University shall primarily provide instruction, undertake research and extension and provide advanced studies and progressive leadership in agriculture, forestry, engineering, technology, education, arts, sciences, humanities and other fields as may be relevant to the development of the province.

### KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

- 1. Human development towards poverty reduction and sustainable development
- 2. Enhanced knowledge & skills, attitudes & values of Filipinos to lead productive lives

#### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth
- 2. Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased
- 3. Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation
- 4. Community Engagement Increased

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

# PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

## KEY STRATEGIES

The University aims to attain "Competitive Sustainability" thru Transparent and Accountable Governance; Improved Service Delivery; Improved Faculty and Staff Profile; Improved Performance of Graduates in Government Examinations; Increased Enrollment; Enhanced Academe-Industry Linkage; Increased Employability of Graduates; Globally Competitive Graduates & Computerized Operational Systems

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Relevant and Quality Tertiary Education Ensured to Achieve Inclusive Growth		
Average percentage passing in licensure exam by the SUC graduates / national average percentage passing in board programs covered by the SUC	1. 41 (51. 24% / 36. 41%)	1. 45 (52. 79% / 36. 41%)
	-	-
Percentage change in number of graduates employed in jobs related to their undergraduate programs	503	4.97% (528)
	-	-
Percentage change in number of graduates in priority programs	122	4.92% (128)
	-	-
Access of Deserving But Poor Students to Quality Tertiary Education Increased		
Percentage change in number of students in priority programs awarded financial aid	2, 479	4. 44% (2, 589)
	-	-
Percentage change in number of students awarded financial aid who completed their degrees	248	2.82% (255)
	-	-
Higher Education Research Improved to Promote Economic Productivity and Innovation		
Number of R&D outputs patented / commercialized / used by the industry or by other beneficiaries:		
a) Applied for patenting;	a) 0	a) 1
b) Patented or Commercialized;	b) –	b) –
c) Adopted in industry / small and medium enterprises / LGU / Community-based Organizations	c) 3	c) 5
	_	_

6		LGAZETTE		Vol. 111	, No.
NERAL A	PPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2016				
	of research and development outputs in the fields of dustrial technology published in CHED recognized referred s	5	6		
	of research and development outputs in the fields of dustrial technology published in CHED recognized referred s	-	-		
	age change in number of faculty engaged in research work in any of the following:				
a.) pur	suing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D.); or	a) 15	a) 20.00%	(18)	
b.) pub	lishing; or	b) 5	b) 100.00%	6 (10)	
c.) pro improve	oducing technologies for commercialization or livelihood c) 5 c) 100.00% (1 ement		6 (10)		
		-	-		
ommunity E	ngagement Increased				
Percentage change in number of partnerships with LGUs, industry, small and medium enterprises, and local entrepreneum and other national agency in developing, implementing or using new technologies relevant to agro-industrial development		11	9.09% (12)		
			_		
		-			
transfe	age change in number of poor beneficiaries of technology r / extension programs and activities leading to pod improvement	5	40% (7)		
transfe	r / extension programs and activities leading to	5	40% (7)		
transfe livelih	r / extension programs and activities leading to	5	40% (7) -	2016 Targets	
transfe livelih	r / extension programs and activities leading to bood improvement	5	40% (7) -	2016 Targets	
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	r / extension programs and activities leading to bood improvement OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	5	40% (7) _ 	2016 Targets	
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	<pre>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES vision of Higher Education Services</pre>	5	40% (7) - _		1100
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	r / extension programs and activities leading to bood improvement OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES	-	40% (7) - -		1100
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES vision of Higher Education Services Total number of graduates	- s.			
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs) HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES vision of Higher Education Services Total number of graduates Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course	- s.			
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	<ul> <li>outputs (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin		97 20%;	60% . 85% 20%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1:	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin		97 20%;	60% . 85%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to bood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin		97 20%;	60% . 85% 20%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>outputs (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin		97 20%;	60% . 85% 20%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin		97 20%;	60% . 85% 20%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>outputs (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe		97 20%; 80	60% . 85% . 20% . 00% 60 100%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> </ul>	s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> </ul>	s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro MFO 2: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of students who engages in employment</li> <li>Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>duct of Research Services</li> </ul>	s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro MFO 2: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of students who engages in employment</li> <li>Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>duct of Research Services</li> <li>Number of research studies completed</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe s elivery/supervision as good or bet	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93% 100%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro MFO 2: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of students who engages in employment</li> <li>Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>duct of Research Services</li> <li>Number of research studies completed in the last 3 ye</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe s elivery/supervision as good or bet ars	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93% 100% 40 75%
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro MFO 2: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of students who engages in employment</li> <li>Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>duct of Research Services</li> <li>Number of research studies completed</li> <li>Percentage of research studies completed in the last 3 ye</li> <li>Percentage of research outputs presented in local, region</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe s elivery/supervision as good or bet ars al and international fora	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93% 100% 40
transfe livelih AJOR FINAL MFO 1: Pro MFO 2: Pro	<ul> <li>r / extension programs and activities leading to ood improvement</li> <li>OUTPUTS (MFOS) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</li> <li>HIGHER EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>vision of Higher Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC across all disciplines covered by the SUC</li> <li>Percentage of programs accredited at: Level 1; Level 2</li> <li>Average of graduates who finished academic program accord</li> <li>ADVANCED EDUCATION SERVICES</li> <li>visions of Advanced Education Services</li> <li>Total number of graduates</li> <li>Percentage of total graduates that are in priority course</li> <li>Percentage of students who engages in employment</li> <li>Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education d</li> <li>RESEARCH SERVICES</li> <li>duct of Research Services</li> <li>Number of research studies completed</li> <li>Percentage of research studies completed in the last 3 ye</li> </ul>	- s. graduates/national average % passin ing to the prescribed timeframe s elivery/supervision as good or bet ars al and international fora	ng	97 20%; 80	60% . 85% 20% . 00% 60 100% 93% 100% 40 75%

## DECEMBER 29, 2015

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE

### 137 STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

### MFO 4: TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION SERVICES

Provision of Extension Services

Number of persons trained weighted by length of training	550
Number of persons provided with technical advice	5
Percentage of trainees/clients who rate training course as good or better	929
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service	
delivery as good or better	859